Clinical pharmacy and clinical trials

CPC-114 PREVALENCE AND MANAGEMENT OF DRUG-RELATED **PROBLEMS IN AN INTENSIVE CARE UNIT**

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.571

¹K Lundereng, ²JK Sund, ³HM Sporsem, ⁴R Pedersen, ⁵E Molden. ¹Levanger Hospital Pharmacy Trust, Central Norway Hospital Pharmacy Trust, Levanger, Norway; ²Central Norway Hospital Pharmacy Trust, Central Norway Hospital Pharmacy Trust, Trondheim, Norway; 3Oslo Hospital Pharmacy Trust, South-eastern Norway Hospital Pharmacy Trust, Oslo, Norway; ⁴Department of Emergency Medicine and Intensive Care Levanger Hospital, Nord-Trøndelag Hospital Trust, Levanger, Norway; 5School of Pharmacy, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Background Patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) are at higher risk than other patients of having problems, injuries and adverse drug reactions (ADRs) associated with their drug

Purpose To identify and categorise drug-related problems (DRPs) in an intensive care unit, using a standardised tool modified for use in critically ill patients.

Materials and Methods The Integrated Medicines Management model (IMM) was used as a standardised tool for both medicines reconciliation and medication reviews. All patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) at Levanger Hospital, Norway, during a 12-week period in 2011 were asked to participate in the study. DRPs identified by the pharmacist were discussed with the physicians in charge and changes in drug treatment were recorded.

Results A total of 23 patients were included in the study and 94 medication reviews were conducted (1–25 reviews per patient). One or more DRPs were identified for 16 of the patients. Overall 150 DRPs were identified by the pharmacist. Out of these 41% were related to discrepancies with the medicines list, 18% were nonoptimal doses, 8% clinically relevant interactions and 8% non-optimal treatment. Input from the pharmacist was upheld by the physician and the medicine changed as suggested for 18% of the DRPs related to the medicines reconciliation and discrepancies with the medicines list and for 76% for DRPs identified in the medication review.

Conclusions DRPs were frequently identified in this cohort of ICU patients by the use of a standardised assessment tool. The majority of DRPs identified in the medication reviews were accepted by the physician. This indicates that the inclusion of a clinical pharmacist in the ICU multidisciplinary team may contribute to the quality of both acute and prophylactic drug treatment in critically ill patients.

No conflict of interest.

CPC-115 PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF INTRALUMINAL CATHETER THROMBOSIS IN CHILDREN HOSPITALISED IN A PAEDIATRIC INTENSIVE CARE UNIT

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.572

¹A de Lorenzo-Pinto, ²AC Sánchez-Galindo, ¹S Manrique-Rodríguez, ¹CM Fernández-Llamazares, ²SN Fernández-Lafever, ²M García-San Prudencio, ¹B Marzal-Alfaro, ¹L Cortejoso-Fernández, ¹M Sanjurjo-Sáez. ¹Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañon, Pharmacy Department, Madrid, Spain; 2Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañon, Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Madrid, Spain

Background Placing central venous access devices (CVADs) is essential in the management of critically ill children. Used for the administration of fluids, medicines, total parenteral nutrition or blood products they may, however, also cause thrombotic complications.

Purpose To develop and implement a protocol for the prevention and treatment of catheter-related intraluminal thrombosis in a Paediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU).

Materials and Methods A computerised search was carried out on MEDLINE using the medical subject heading 'central venous catheter' associated with 'occlusion', 'thrombosis', 'critically ill

patients' and 'fibrinolytic'. The protocol development process was guided by the goal of weighing evidence regarding effectiveness, safety and cost. Algorithms were developed in order to reduce the complexity of the protocol, aid comprehension, and facilitate successful implementation.

Results With the information gathered, a protocol was drawn up and those recommendations that best suit our environment were included. They were agreed upon by a broad panel of professionals working in the PICU and the Pharmacy Department. Facts to highlight:

Prevention of intraluminal catheter thrombosis:

Prevention of intraluminal CVAD thrombosis with continuous heparin infusion: To keep intravenous catheters patent for drug administration, haemodynamic monitoring and blood sampling.

Prevention of intraluminal CVAD thrombosis with heparin lock solutions: To maintain catheters not being used for the administration of continuous infusion fluids.

Prevention of intraluminal CVAD thrombosis with fibrinolytic lock solutions: If prior intraluminal thrombosis has occurred. The fibrinolytic agent used should be the same as that used for thrombosis resolution.

Treatment of intraluminal CVAD thrombosis

Normal saline: Flush with 5-10 mL. If after 3 attempts the problem is not solved, administration of fibrinolytic therapy is recommended.

Urokinase at a concentration of 5,000 U/mL (first line). Alteplase at a concentration of 1 mg/mL (second line).

Conclusions Due to the variety of options available for the pharmacotherapeutic management of intraluminal catheter thrombosis, one measure to improve the quality of the treatment and reduce the variability in prescriptions could be to implement a protocol as described.

No conflict of interest.

CPC-116 PREVENTION OF OPIOID-INDUCED CONSTIPATION: **RESULTS FROM A CAMPAIGN IN 4 HOSPITALS IN DENMARK**

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.573

N Christensen. Region Hovedstadens Apotek, Clinical Pharmacy Service, Copenhagen,

Background The Clinical Pharmacy Service is part of the Capital Region Pharmacy. The Clinical Pharmacy Service handles acquisition of medicines for the hospital wards and is responsible for the safe and rational use of medicines. The department employs teams consisting of a pharmacist and a pharmaconomist [roughly translating as an expert in pharmaceuticals] with responsibility for each ward. The educational background of the pharmaconomist is 3 years' tertiary education which includes an internship in a community pharmacy or hospital pharmacy. The pharmaconomist handles the daily acquisition of medicines and contact with the wards and the pharmacist is responsible for quality assurance. A campaign was launched to improve the quality of the service on the wards and to educate the pharmaconomists.

Purpose To improve awareness of opioid-induced constipation and treatment with laxatives.

Materials and Methods The pharmaconomist attended teaching sessions arranged by the pharmacists. The pharmacists developed an intervention handout to the wards containing information about why and how they should prevent opioid-induced constipation. For 8 weeks the pharmaconomists screened the medicines prescribed on the wards for opioids and checked whether a laxative was prescribed. If no laxative was prescribed they filled in an intervention handout with patient information and proposed solutions and

gave it to a nurse or doctor on the ward. The nurse and doctor would consider the suggested solution and tick off either 'Yes I agree and have prescribed a laxative' or 'No I don't agree' and return the handout to the pharmaconomist.

Results A total of 2282 patient medicines were screened and 681 patients had been prescribed opioids. 236 of the patients receiving opioids did not have a prescription for a laxative and the pharmaconomist filled in an intervention handout for these patients. 25 interventions were accepted by the doctors on the wards and laxatives were prescribed. Unfortunately about 50% of the handouts were never returned to the pharmacy, making it difficult to determine the exact number of interventions accepted. Also a number of patients were discharged before action could be taken. If the intervention were to be repeated the following would be relevant to improve the outcome: better communication with the doctors, ensure that the patients on selected wards are hospitalised for a few days (to make time for intervention) and more time to prepare the ward personnel. **Conclusions** The campaign was a success, but more could be done to improve the outcomes of such a campaign. It is important to consider the selection of wards to include in the campaign. On wards where the patients are discharged after a few days it can be difficult to implement the interventions under time pressure.

No conflict of interest.

CPC-117 PROSPECTIVE REGISTRY FOR EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BEVACIZUMAB ALONE OR WITH IRINOTECAN IN RECURRENT GLIOBLASTOMA

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.574

¹M Vaiani, ¹M Cecchi, ¹S Colombini, ¹E Agostino, ¹F Attanasio, ²M Ceroti, ¹R Banfi. ¹Careggi Hospital, Pharmacy Department, Florence, Italy; ²ISPO, Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Florence, Italy

Background Recurrent glioblastoma is nearly always fatal, with median survival rates of approximately 12-14 months. Previous phase II clinical trials showed promising results with bevacizumab, alone or in combination with irinotecan, in patients with recurrent glioblastoma.

Purpose To assess whether the survival of patients with recurrent glioblastoma receiving bevacizumab alone or with irinotecan in everyday practise is comparable to that reported in clinical trials.

Materials and Methods This was a retrospective observational study conducted at a single hospital in Italy. Patients with recurrent glioblastoma who had received bevacizumab alone or with irinotecan from January 2009 to September 2011 were included in our study.

The main outcome measures were progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and rates of PFS and OS at 6 months. Results Median PFS was 5.1 months in the bevacizumab group (n = 9) and 15.4 months in the bevacizumab + irinotecan group (n = 10), with 6-month PFS rates of 45% and 69%, respectively. Median OS was 6.8 months for bevacizumab alone and 11.1 months

90%, respectively. Conclusions Although the number of patients included is not sufficient to allow a conclusive statement about the place of bevacizumab in the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma, the data appear

promising, and are consistent with the results of clinical trials.

for bevacizumab + irinotecan, with 6-month OS rates of 100% and

No conflict of interest.

CPC-118 QUICK WINS – INNOVATIVE AND ECONOMIC FOCUS **ON USE OF MEDICINES**

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.575

¹A Kobberg Jensen, ¹D Vilstrup Tomsen, ²B Ørskov Lindhardt. ¹Region Hovedstadens Apotek, Clinical Pharmacy Services, Hillerød, Denmark; 2Hillerød Hospital, Lung- and Infection, Hillerød, Denmark

Background Medicines account for a large part of the budget in Danish hospitals. National and regional actions are initiated to control drug expenses. Local initiatives aim at involving doctors, nurses and pharmacy staff in taking everyday responsibility for the rational use of drugs.

Purpose To establish systematic and documented cooperation between the Local Drug and Therapeutics Committee, the clinical staff and the pharmacy staff to systematically identify and intervene on avoidable medicines expenses.

Materials and Methods 10 focus areas were identified: Handling free-of-charge drugs, systematic feedback from top-up-service, the staff's (unofficial) use of medicines, reanalysis of statistical material on drug use, input from other pharmacy departments, analysing the use of the 120 most expensive drugs, analysis of disposed medicines, emptying vials (expensive drugs), shift from IV to oral antibiotics, and use of the patients' own medicine, when possible. Through a systematic approach and co-operation on all levels of the organisation, the 10 focus areas were implemented in everyday practise at

Results The results were recorded in a report to the Local Drug and Therapeutics Committee in January 2012. A financial estimate was made for 4 out of 10 focus areas. The total result for the 4 intervention areas amounts to a saving of DKK 1,154,500 (€155,00)/year. The saving is based on a conservative estimate. For the remaining six focus areas interventions are still taking place. In 2012 the initiative is spreading to other hospitals in the Capital

Conclusions Through systematic and well-documented cooperation between the Local Drug and Therapeutics Committee, the clinical staff and the pharmacy, it has proved possible to save a considerable amount on the total hospital budget.

No conflict of interest.

CPC-119 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF CLINICAL PHARMACY MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH **TYPE 2 DIABETES IN AN OUTPATIENT DIABETES CLINIC IN JORDAN**

doi:10.1136/ejhpharm-2013-000276.576

¹A Jarab, ²S Alqudah, ³T Mukattash, ¹G Shattat, ¹T Al-Qirim. ¹Alzaytoonah University of Jordan, Pharmacy, Amman, Jordan; ²Jordanian Royal Medical Services, Pharmacy, Amman, Jordan; ³Jordan University of Science and Technology, Pharmacy, Irbid, Jordan

Background Glycaemic goals are often not achieved in patients with type 2 diabetes despite the availability of many effective treatments and the documented benefits of glycaemic control in the reduction of long-term microvascular and macrovascular complications.

Purpose To evaluate, in a randomised, controlled trial, the impact of a clinical pharmacy service on clinical outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Materials and Methods A total of 171 patients (85 interventions vs. 86 usual care) participated in the study. Intervention patients had individualised education and treatment recommendations from a clinical pharmacist while control patients received usual care provided by the clinic. The primary outcome measure was glycaemic control manifested by HbA1c reductions. All other data collected including systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL), serum triglycerides, medication adherence, and necessary self-care activities formed secondary outcome measures. Between-group differences in the amounts of change from baseline to 6-month follow-up were tested and a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically

Results Compared with baseline values, patients in the intervention group had a mean reduction of 0.8% in HbA1c versus a mean