Purpose To report the introduction of a gravimetric process of weighing to encourage its future implementation and increase the quality and safety in the preparation of parenteral nutrition (PN).

Materials and Methods In order to standardise the gravimetric control of PN, a protocol was developed by the nutrition unit. The quality of the PN preparation was established by calculating the accuracy (the mean of the error in the gravimetric analyses (EGA)) and precision (square root of the mean square of the EGA) and the alert limits were set at ±5%. The first step was to determine the densities of the components of the PN and update the parenteral nutrition programme. The PN labels were modified to show the theoretical weight of the PN and the maximum and minimum limits allowed.

Results One strategy established for the quality control of the final product was to compare the final weight of the product with the volume and the density calculated for each component. In the first 67 days 150 parenteral nutrition mixtures were made in the neonatology department. The average theoretical weight was 323.68 g (±236.04) and the average measured weight was 323.45 g (±259.94).

The mean difference of the actual weight versus the theoretical weight was 2.8% (±0.04).

Conclusions Gravimetric analysis is a strategy to check the accuracy and precision in PN and complements the quality assurance processes normally used to regulate the preparation.
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Background In 2009 we established a consensus review of therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin by several societies including the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists (SIDP).

Purpose To study the use of plasma concentrations (PCs) as a tool for monitoring the effectiveness and safety of vancomycin treatment; follow-up of the changes made in response to the recommendations made.

Materials and Methods Retrospective descriptive study in a tertiary hospital during the first four months of 2012. Vancomycin trough plasma concentrations (PCs) were collected. The laboratory service requested and identified patients treated with vancomycin in the unit dose dispensing system. An automatic system recorded the doses, days of treatment indicated, glomerular filtration rate (GP) prior to and during treatment with vancomycin (calculated by the MDRD formula, considering renal function impairment (RFI) lower GFR 80 ml/min) and concomitant treatment. The recommendations contained in the consensus document on vancomycin therapeutic monitoring of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) were used as the standard criteria for vancomycin PC monitoring. RFI, treatment for more than five days or concomitant nephrotoxic drug administered.

Results 80 patients were enrolled, median age 66, 21 men, median treatment duration of 7 (1–46) days. The PC was checked in 10% (3) of the patients, two had PCs within the recommended values. In the third patient a single plasma level was requested, which revealed less than the recommended values but the same dose and schedule was maintained throughout the 29 days of treatment. In none was the area under the curve divided by the minimum inhibitory concentration (AUC/MIC) reported, the pharmacokinetic parameter best related to the effectiveness of vancomycin. Plasma levels were not requested in 27 patients, 90% of the total treated with vancomycin. 65% met one or more criteria for monitoring; treatment for longer than five days was the most common criterion (20). In one patient the recommendations made were acted on.

Conclusions The established recommendations on therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin are not being applied in our hospital. A high number of patients treated with vancomycin did not use the PC as a parameter to monitor the efficacy and safety of antibiotic treatment.

One possible cause could be a lack of training of medical staff on the usefulness and benefits of vancomycin monitoring, particularly during prolonged treatment and in patients with RFI.
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Background Medicines Reconciliation ensures that medicines prescribed on patient admission correspond to those taken before admission.

Purpose To report the introduction of a gravimetric process of weighing to encourage its future implementation and increase the quality and safety in the preparation of parenteral nutrition (PN).

Materials and Methods In order to standardise the gravimetric control of PN, a protocol was developed by the nutrition unit. The quality of the PN preparation was established by calculating the accuracy (the mean of the error in the gravimetric analyses (EGA)) and precision (square root of the mean square of the EGA) and the alert limits were set at ±5%. The first step was to determine the densities of the components of the PN and update the parenteral nutrition programme. The PN labels were modified to show the theoretical weight of the PN and the maximum and minimum limits allowed.

Results One strategy established for the quality control of the final product was to compare the final weight of the product with the volume and the density calculated for each component. In the first 67 days 150 parenteral nutrition mixtures were made in the neonatology department. The average theoretical weight was 323.68 g (±236.04) and the average measured weight was 323.45 g (±259.94).

The mean difference of the actual weight versus the theoretical weight was 2.8% (±0.04).

Conclusions Gravimetric analysis is a strategy to check the accuracy and precision in PN and complements the quality assurance processes normally used to regulate the preparation.
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admission. This process involves discussion with patients/carers/using primary care records.

Medicines errors cause harm to patients, lead to increased morbidity/mortality/inflated healthcare costs [1, 2]

NBT has invested in many safety initiatives including: the Safer Patients Initiative (SPI2) and the Southwest Quality and Patient Safety Improvement Programme (SWQPSI).

**Purpose** To implement and improve Medicines Reconciliation. The objectives were to: Ensure more than 95% of patients admitted receive Medicines Reconciliation within 24 hours; Improve the quality of Medicines Reconciliation and reduce medicines errors on admission.

**Materials and Methods** Using improvement methodology, tests of change were trialled and spread, involving:

- **Phase 1: 2007–2008**: (1–8 wards)
  - Introduced a Medicines Admissions Proforma
  - Developed an e-audit tool
- **Phase 2: 2008–2009**: (8–11 wards)
  - Training DVD was designed
  - Analysed admissions data to spread towards where admissions were >2% of the total number of admissions
  - Collected randomised data electronically as a run chart
  - Improved communication (Patients/Ambulance/CP’s)
- **Phase 3: 2009–now**: (11–30 wards)
  - 2010: tests of change on accuracy of Medicines Reconciliation, spreading to 42 wards
  - 2012: Surgical Pharmacist funding agreed following a Pre-admissions clinic trial.

**Results** The medians in the table show improvements 2007–2012. In 2011 we achieved and maintained our target. Accuracy data showed only 55% of admissions drug histories taken by doctors alone are accurate.

**Conclusions** From February 2011 we achieved and maintained our 95% target on 30 wards. We improved the quality of medicines reconciliation and reduced medicines errors on admission.

The Institute for Healthcare Improvement congratulated us and QIPP’s national programme benchmarking teaching hospitals also highlights our remarkable results.
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**Background** To avoid medical errors and thus to improve the safety and quality of cancer treatment in our institution, all chemotherapy prescriptions are critically checked by a clinical pharmacist. Prescription errors are communicated immediately to the attending physician and corrected prior to the preparation and administration of the drugs.

**Purpose** To compile error statistics and to assess the potential severity of errors in chemotherapy prescriptions, we retrospectively analysed and evaluated prescription errors in order to improve the safety of treatment.

**Materials and Methods** 42624 paper written (no CPOE) chemotherapy prescriptions (containing 86101 prescriptions for medicines) from 19 departments of the University Hospital of RWTH Aachen between 2004 and 2009 were analysed retrospectively by the hospital pharmacy. The most important criteria for analysis were wrong patient, wrong drug, missing drug, wrong dose, wrong application day and wrong protocol. The clinical relevance of the medical errors detected was assessed independently by four oncologists and two clinical pharmacists using the criteria of Small et al. [1].

**Results** In total, 696 medicines errors were detected in 373 prescriptions during the routine verification by the pharmacist. By far the most abundant errors (92.4% of the total) were related to the dose. Of the 373 prescriptions the team reviewed 20% of the errors as minor, 50% as significant, 25% as severe and 5% as potentially fatal. Potentially fatal errors were detected in regard to overdoses and once to the prescription of the wrong drug.

**Conclusions** Our results clearly show the relevance of clinical pharmacists being part of the therapeutic team to reduce medicines errors and to prevent any patient harm.
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