Background Pemetrexed is an expensive oncological drug, used in combination with platinum derivatives (cisplatin/carboplatin) in the first line treatment of unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma. In Italy, this indication is no longer subject to web-based monitoring (Onco-AIIF Register) to ensure its use appropriateness.

Purpose To assess the effectiveness in patients treated at the Istituto Oncologico Veneto (IOV) compared to the pivotal trial.

Materials and Methods This observational analysis was performed on all patients with pleural mesothelioma treated at the IOV from 01/12/2006 to 30/04/2011; the data were extracted from both paper and computerised medical records. The median Overall Survival (OS) and Time To Progression (TTP) were calculated as updated on 31/05/2012 according to the intention to treat.

Results All 46 patients (52 males and 14 females) were evaluated in terms of OS. TTP was calculated only for the 41 evaluable patients (29 males and 12 females); 5 patients lost owing to lack of information at follow-up.

The median OS/TTP values were respectively 14.2/8.9 months (vs. pivotal trial 12.1/5.7 months).

The majority of patients received the less toxic protocol pemetrexed+carboplatin, which contributed to the better OS/TTP. Better OS/TTP might be related to the use in a neoadjuvant regimen (16 patients: 10 males and 6 females); a specific stratified analysis showed TTP/OS median of 27.8/18.6 months.

Conclusions To confirm the better effectiveness of the carboplatin + pemetrexed protocol, further data on a greater number of patients, neoadjuvant treatment, treatment toxicity and patient performance status are needed.

Since the effectiveness of this high-cost oncological drug is not monitored at the national level, local monitoring is required to ensure appropriateness.

The computerised medical record is a pre-requisite for protocol standardisation and a tool of information standardisation/updating.

This work represents an easy, versatile methodological model with significant health implications.

A widely shared computerised medical record is a powerful tool for epidemiological investigations; an established network allowing benchmarking is a valid and independent decision-making tool.
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**Background** Osteosarcoma is a relatively common bone tumour; with an incidence of 0.2 to 3/100 000, it is an orphan disease. Mifamurtide has managed to increase survival without increasing side effects.

**Purpose** To evaluate the safety and efficacy of mifamurtide in two paediatric patients diagnosed with osteogenic sarcoma.

**Materials and Methods** We conducted a prospective study of two paediatric patients diagnosed with osteogenic sarcoma. Weekly, we attended the oncology sessions and we tracked them during the chemotherapy, and after that, through the electronic clinical history.

Mifamurtide is indicated in children, adolescents and young adults for the treatment of high-grade resectable non-metastatic osteosarcoma after surgical resection. It is used in combination with post-operative chemotherapy.

In the two cases, the treatment followed the SEOP-OSO-2010 guidelines of the Spanish Society of Paediatric Oncology for 57 weeks.

After surgery (week 15) mifamurtide was started as adjuvant treatment: 2 mg/m² twice weekly for the first 12 weeks and followed by once-weekly for an additional 24 weeks, for a total of 48 infusions in 36 weeks.

**Results** Chemotherapy started according to protocol, the patients were aged 12 and 15 years (July and November 2010, respectively).

One patient had a flu-like reaction after the first dose of mifamurtide, so the following doses were administered with premedication (acetaminophen and dexchlorpheniramine). Other side effects: anaemia and thrombocytopenia, requiring human stimulating factors and platelet concentrates; vomiting was treated with aprepitant.

When chemotherapy finished, the patients were in complete remission, this situation continues today, 10 and 13 months later.

**Conclusions** The SEOP protocol plus mifamurtide achieved complete remission in both cases.

The use of mifamurtide can be considered safe and it did not increase side effects, we observed only a flu-like reaction attributed to mifamurtide which resolved with premedication.