Results 199 substitution proposals were sent to the physicians (51.8% accepted, 48.2% not accepted. Of these, in 17.1% of cases the patient brought the medicine from home and in 7% treatment was discontinued).

The most common clinical justification accepted (8 cases) was leg oedema caused by amlopidine (maintenance of manidipine). The second one was anaerobic infection where levofloxacin is not active (maintenance of moxifloxacin).

The global DNI price within two months of study was €1,148.78. The cost saving with the acceptance of 51.8% of substitutions was €472.63 in two months. If 100% of substitutions had been accepted, the therapeutic equivalent prescription would have saved €586.75.

In 17% of cases therapeutic equivalents were prescribed at discharge.

Conclusions The suggested substitution was accepted in more than half of cases.

The adjustment of medical prescriptions to the hospital’s pharmacotherapeutic guide prevailed over the economic saving, which was not significant.

The prescription of therapeutic equivalents at discharge was not as expected.
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Background In recent years the incidence of different types of pain is increasing. We have found the same in the St. Anne’s hospital in the Czech Republic. Patients are now able to ask about the correct usage of opioid drugs in pharmacy consultation centre, which opened in 2011.

Purpose To find out the consumption of opioid analgesics from 2008 to 2011. This is an analysis of prescriptions by doctors from the pain treatment centre. We also collected data from patient records in the pharmacy consultation centre and we wanted to know how many patients come to consult us.

Materials and Methods Data were obtained from the pharmacy computer software. We made a retrospective evaluation, calculated the defined daily dosage (DDD) and compared consumption of opioid analgesics during 2008–2011 for ATC class N02A and other subclasses. We analysed the consultation records.

Results Consumption of weak opioids decreased over that time, while consumption of strong opioids increased, which had to be prescribed. Opiates were prescribed more often to women. The highest consumption was of buprenorphine, than fentanyl and oxycodeone, from weak opioids it was tramadol. Consumption of fentanyl increased from 35 735 DDD (2010) to 39 924 DDD (2011), while buprenorphine consumption decreased from 45 059 DDD (2010) to 38 675 DDD (2011). The amount of morphine used last year was twice that of previous years. The total number of patients who visited the pharmacy consultation centre was 41, six patients were from the pain treatment centre. Average age was 61.3. Patients wanted to control interactions, secondly combat adverse effects of opioids and requested information about neuropathic pain. Average consultation length was 22.5 minutes.

Conclusions The consumption of strong opioids is gradually increasing, doctors follow guidelines and they aren’t afraid of prescribing strong opioids. In future it would be appropriate to extend the distribution of informatory materials by the consultation centre – not only about the opioid analgesics.
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Background The primary treatment of Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD) is based on inhibition of Vascular Epithelial Growth Factor (VEGF) with antiangiogenic drugs, which delay disease progression and improve the patient’s vision.

Choosing between bevacizumab and ranibizumab is still up for debate. Bevacizumab has not been approved for AMD, while ranibizumab has a safer profile and is legally approved for this condition, although it is more expensive.

Purpose To evaluate the cost of intravitreal ranibizumab in AMD and to compare with the hypothetical cost of treatment with intra-vitreal bevacizumab in off-label conditions for the same group of patients.
Materials and Methods: This descriptive observational study was carried out in a General Hospital, over a period of 24 months between January 2010 and December 2011. All patients diagnosed with AMD who received at least one dose of intravitreal ranibizumab were included.

Results: 77 patients were included in the study, with a total of 82 eyes treated. This involved the administration of 259 injections of intravitreal ranibizumab. Each dose cost €549.75. In total, the consumption of intravitreal ranibizumab to treat the AMD during the period of study carried an expense of €142,385.25.

Considering that the unit cost of intravitreal bevacizumab is €4.08, the administration of this drug instead of ranibizumab would have cost €1,056.72.

Conclusions: Ranibizumab is 135 times more expensive than bevacizumab.

In this group of patients, the use of bevacizumab would have reduced costs by approximately €141,000.
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OHP-018 COST-MINIMIZATION STUDY ASSOCIATED WITH TWO STRATEGIES OF INTRAVENOUS CHEMOTHERAPY: PERIPHERALLY INSERTED CENTRAL CATHETERS VERSUS SUBCUTANEOUS CENTRAL VENOUS ACCESS PORTS

A Nianzou, J Mayer, L Bauduin, C Chapiot, J Borget, P Tilleul. Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences PARIS V, Pharmacoeconomics of Drugs and Medical Devices, Paris, France

Background: Subcutaneous central venous access ports (CVPs) and peripherally inserted central catheters (PICCs) are two widely used devices for the administration of chemotherapy. Many studies focus on their complications but no cost study could be found in the literature.

Purpose: To determine which technique allows cost minimization in the administration of chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods: We constructed a Markov chain (TreeAge Software) from literature data in which probabilities were adjusted to the duration of one cycle (21 days).

Time horizon was 5 cycles. Population was oncohaematology.

Four states were identified for patients: absence of complications; mechanical complications, infectious complications and obstructive thrombotic complications.

Three consequences were isolated: the maintenance, removal or reinstallation of the catheter.

Costs were estimated from care protocols of a French University Hospital, from treatment recommendations and the French ‘Common Classification of Medical Acts’.

Results: Adjusted complication rate (%): (Table)

Cost of these strategies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>PICC (with fixture)</th>
<th>PICC (without fixture)</th>
<th>CVP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PICC (with fixture)</td>
<td>€542</td>
<td>€486</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICC (without fixture)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP</td>
<td>€550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial gain on the purchase of PICCs doesn’t recoup the costs associated with maintenance and management of their complications.

Limits: the study is based on a literature review with a low number of subjects (PICCs) and foreign data (CVPs). The foreign data cannot necessarily be applied to French practise (PICC thrombosis rate in France < international rate).

Moreover, unlike the CVP group, the majority of PICC complications are mechanical and therefore depend on the hospital maintenance practises.

Conclusions: Costs incurred by the two strategies are equivalent; however, we economise on PICCs when the care protocol doesn’t change the fixture every time.

Abstract OHP-018 Table 1 Adjusted complication rate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Infectious</th>
<th>Mechanical</th>
<th>Obstructive/ thrombosis</th>
<th>Absence of complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVP</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICC</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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OHP-019 DAY-1 CALL IN AN ONCOLOGY DAY UNIT: WHAT IMPROVEMENTS?
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Background: The preparation in advance of anticancer drugs can decrease the waiting time of patients in oncology day units.

Purpose: To establish a system of phoning patients before their session (D-1 call) to check their availability. A year after its deployment, we evaluated the impact of this plan.

Materials and Methods: The oncologist and a nurse call patients one day before their appointment. The prescriptions are validated when the patient’s condition permits it in the light of the patient’s biological assessment, done in an outside medical analysis laboratory, and an interview using a standardised questionnaire. After pharmaceutical validation, anticancer drugs are prepared in the afternoon for the next day. Indicators of routine monitoring were defined.

Results: A median of 13 patients with 23 planned day-hospital appointments were called the day before their appointment. An oncologist validated the treatment of 45% of the patients on D-1 and 95% of the cancer treatments were delivered on D1 before 9:00 am. The total time the patients spent in the unit was reduced from 273 minutes to 242 minutes after our plan was adopted. The average time between the end of the medical consultation and the start of the treatment went down from 79 minutes before the D-1 call to 52 minutes. In addition, 2/3 of patients received the treatment only 50 minutes after seeing their doctor. Finally, fewer than 2% of anticipated preparations were not administered.

Conclusions: The D-1 call requires significant effort, but it enables us to improve the organisation of care in the oncology day unit and the preparation of the anticancer drugs by the pharmacy’s production unit. The workload is more even throughout the day and is not stressful for the staff. All of this contributes to making the system safer. We are hoping to extend the D-1 call to the oncology week unit and evaluate patient satisfaction.
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Background: Therapeutic de-escalation enables us to improve the effectiveness of empirical antimicrobial therapy and avoids the development of resistance.

Purpose: To analyse the preliminary results of a pilot project of pharmacy interventions to achieve de-escalation of treatment with carbapenems, within a programme of optimisation of antibiotics use.

Materials and Methods: Prospective study of pharmacy interventions aimed at de-escalation in patients starting treatment with carbapenems, over three months (from March to June 2012) in a