Clinical pharmacy and clinical trials

Valenciennes ED was incorrect, and that pharmacists' involvement could improve information gathering about home medicines.

During the study, pharmacists did not find any discrepancies with home meds or any drug-related problems (DRPs) in 38.2% of the patients. Pharmacists did not add value for these patients.

Separately, the Centre Hospitalier de Valenciennes pharmacy has automated the drug dispensing process. As a result, pharmacy technicians have expressed their reluctance to only work with a machine, fearing they might lose part of their skills in medicines management.

Before this problem arose, it has been proposed that technicians take part in medicines reconciliation in the ED.

**Purpose** To assess which tasks could be conducted by a pharmacy technician in medicines reconciliation.

**Materials and Methods** Technicians were present at the ED with a pharmacist. Technicians conducted standardised procedures, such as contacting the community pharmacy or assessing patients' compliance according to scores, and reported the conclusions to the pharmacist.

**Results** Pharmacy technicians had a strong incentive to get involved, as it refreshed their knowledge of medicines management. Moreover, it helped pharmacist to reconcile more patients in the ED, and to focus on patients with DRPs.

However, pharmacy technicians need to be trained on how to detect DRPs, such as therapeutic escalation, and on how to conduct a patient interview.

**Conclusions** Involving pharmacy technicians in medicines reconciliation may help the pharmacist in the ED, and allow the technicians to keep up their medicines management skills.
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**Background** Ipilimumab is a recombinant, fully human monoclonal antibody (IgG1) which blocks the inhibitory effects of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4), a negative regulator of T-cell activation. It has been approved for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma in patients who have failed or do not tolerate other systemic treatment for advanced disease.

**Purpose** To review the effectiveness and safety profile of ipilimumab in the treatment of adult patients with advanced melanoma.

**Materials and Methods** Medical record review and retrospective analysis (January 2011 to September 2012) of 41 prescriptions recorded in the Integral Oncology Patient Information System (ONCOBASS) in a teaching general hospital. Previous drug use, dose, line of chemotherapy, number of cycles administered, objective response rate and toxicity were analysed.

**Results** A total of 5 patients with metastatic melanoma were prescribed ipilimumab (2 male, 3 female), median age 45 (36–60). The 4 cycles of treatment planned were completed by 3 patients, 1 continues in active treatment at the moment of finishing this study and the other one has been lost to follow-up due to change of hospital.

In the group of four patients who received treatment, 2 were prescribed ipilimumab as a second line after failure of a temozolomide-based regimen, and 2 were prescribed ipilimumab as third line after two regimens based on immunotherapy, temozolomide or vemurafenib.

After completing the 4 cycles planned, 1 patient maintained complete response (16 months) and 1 patient showed stable disease (maintained for 5 months), and the other one is in evaluation.

No patients suffered grade 3–4 toxicity and the treatment was well tolerated.

**Conclusions** Ipilimumab has shown effectiveness and safety in the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma in patients who have failed or do not tolerate other systemic treatment for advanced disease in our patients, although data from more patients and longer-term studies are required.
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Background Management of postoperative pain is a subject of interest as we believe that pain is still inadequately relieved in this population.

Purpose To describe methods of postoperative pain management in anaesthesia-resuscitation and surgery services of Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital in Rabat.

Materials and Methods A questionnaire was distributed to our hospital anaesthesia-resuscitation doctors and surgeons. The questionnaire was designed to explore the evaluation, treatment and provision of postoperative pain prevention.

Results 27 answers (78%) were obtained. 9 services stated that this was making them aware of the problem of postoperative pain management. 81.5% of the professionals didn’t have a written protocol. Postoperative pain was only evaluated in 52% of the patients. Among the methods used for postoperative pain measurement in post-surgical care units, simple verbal scales were the most used by professionals (29.6%), followed by an analogue visual scale (25.9%). Paracetamol was the drug most used in pain treatment.

Conclusions Although our investigation generated fairly satisfactory results, our hospital professionals must give greater importance to postoperative pain management in order to improve their patients’ pain relief.
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Background The medical care of Jehovah’s Witnesses patients, because they refuse blood transfusion, becomes problematic in cases of severe life-threatening anaemia.

Purpose To describe the case of a patient with severe anaemia who received erythropoietin (EPO) treatment as the result of a literature review.

Materials and Methods A 77-year-old woman was sent to the emergency department with thoracoepigastric pain, blood clots and vomiting for a week. Cardiac examination revealed a coronary syndrome caused by gastrointestinal-induced anaemia at 5.6 g/dl (haematocrit = 18.9%). On day 3 the haemoglobin fell to 4 g/dl (haematocrit = 14.4%) upon which a treatment with EPO beta at 30,000 IU per week (380 IU/kg/week) associated with high intravenous iron supplementation (300 mg/48 hours) was instituted. After 16 days of treatment haemoglobin (8.9 g/dl) and haematocrit (51.6%) had doubled and clinical improvement was observed. The patient was discharged on day 22 of treatment with a total of 4 EPO injections (haemoglobin = 9.6 g/dl).

Results Currently in emergency there is no alternative to transfusion and a higher mortality is linked to a low haemoglobin level. In a multicentre study with 148 patients, Georgopoulos et al. showed the efficacy of EPO, used off-label, administered once weekly, to reduce transfusions.

Thirteen recent publications reported experiences with the intravenous or subcutaneous administration of EPO in anaemia treatment. The optimal dose of EPO remains unclear: dosage ranges from 200 μg/week darbepoetin alfa (Gutierrez et al.), to 130 IU/kg of EPO three times weekly (Walton et al.), to 600 μg/kg/day for 2 days to 300 IU/kg/day (Cothren et al.). After starting treatment the haemoglobin level doubled in 19 days (in an average of 4 days–30 days).

Conclusions Our weekly EPO protocol is in the lower targets found in the literature but it appears as effective as other protocols. Significant variability without a major difference in efficacy appears when EPO is used for Jehovah’s Witness patients, but EPO may provide an alternative treatment in life-threatening anaemia, when blood transfusions are not accepted.
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Background Computerized physician order entry (CPOE) implementation in hospitals has become an important tool for interactive validation of medical orders as well as a facilitator for pharmacist interventions. However several studies have investigated the ‘alert fatigue’ phenomenon caused by an elevated number or recommendations which can lead to relevant clinical interventions being bypassed.

Purpose To compare the degree of acceptance of pharmacist’s interventions after medical order validation using CPOE versus direct phone conversation with the physician.

Materials and Methods Observational, descriptive and prospective study from May to August 2012.

The intervention chosen for comparing the systems was FDA recommendation for simvastatin use regarding contraindications and maximum recommended doses. Interventions were generated using a quasi-random allocation method and physicians could refuse recommendations.

When an intervention assigned to the telephone call group was not possible, CPOE was used as a second option. Acceptance of recommendations and time to modifications of the prescriptions were recorded.

Results Phone call: only 34 of 42 attempted interventions were possible due to the prescriber’s unavailability.

CPOE: 46 interventions and 54 interventions in total after the first attempt by phone call.

Rate of recommendations accepted was 82% for phone calls while only 52% of CPOE interventions.

Time to medical order modification since intervention was 0.26 days for the phone call group versus 2.18 days for CPOE group.

Conclusions CPOE is a useful tool for pharmacists to communicate with the multidisciplinary patient care team but when a relevant clinical intervention is necessary direct phone calls to prescribers are more effective and quicker.

Abstract CPC-082 Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concomitant drug</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Number of alerts made by CPOE</th>
<th>Recommendations accepted</th>
<th>Number of alerts made by phone</th>
<th>Recommendations accepted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline 20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>7 (46%)</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>5 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram 20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escitalopram 20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13 (87%)</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>7 (46%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine 20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
<td>5 (33%)</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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