Background Recurrent glioblastoma is nearly always fatal, with median survival rates of approximately 12–14 months. Previous phase II clinical trials showed promising results with bevacizumab, alone or in combination with irinotecan, in patients with recurrent glioblastoma.

Purpose To assess whether the survival of patients with recurrent glioblastoma receiving bevacizumab alone or with irinotecan in everyday practice is comparable to that reported in clinical trials.

Materials and Methods This was a retrospective observational study conducted at a single hospital in Italy. Patients with recurrent glioblastoma who had received bevacizumab alone or with irinotecan from January 2009 to September 2011 were included in our study.

The main outcome measures were progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and rates of PFS and OS at 6 months.

Results Median PFS was 5.1 months in the bevacizumab group (n = 9) and 15.4 months in the bevacizumab + irinotecan group (n = 10), with 6-month PFS rates of 45% and 69%, respectively. Median OS was 6.8 months for bevacizumab alone and 11.1 months for bevacizumab + irinotecan, with 6-month OS rates of 100% and 90%, respectively.

Conclusions Although the number of patients included is not sufficient to allow a conclusive statement about the place of bevacizumab in the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma, the data appear promising, and are consistent with the results of clinical trials.
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Background Glycaemic goals are often not achieved in patients with type 2 diabetes despite the availability of many effective treatments and the documented benefits of glycaemic control in the reduction of long-term microvascular and macrovascular complications.

Purpose To evaluate, in a randomised, controlled trial, the impact of a clinical pharmacy service on clinical outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Materials and Methods A total of 171 patients (85 interventions vs. 86 usual care) participated in the study. Intervention patients had individualised education and treatment recommendations from a clinical pharmacist while control patients received usual care provided by the clinic. The primary outcome measure was glycaemic control manifested by HbA1c reductions. All other data collected including systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL), high density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglycerides, medication adherence, and necessary self-care activities formed secondary outcome measures. Between-group differences in the amounts of change from baseline to 6-month follow-up were tested and a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results Compared with baseline values, patients in the intervention group had a mean reduction of 0.8% in HbA1c versus a mean