with 21 mL/h and three mixtures with >21 mL/h. Thirty of the 60 mixtures (50%) were changed every 24 hours, the rest were changed when the perfusion finished according to the infusion rate without considering the mixtures' stability. Of the mixtures which were changed correctly: 70% were prescribed with an infusion rate of <21 mL/h; 20% with 21 mL/h; and 10% with >21 mL/h. On the other hand, the mixtures changed after the recommended time were prescribed with an infusion rate: 90% with <21 mL/h and 10% with 21 mL/h.

Conclusion The mixtures prescribed with an infusion rate of <21 mL/h led to a miscalculation of the time when the mixtures had to be changed correctly. Every mixture was changed at the right time when written and oral recommendations were given to the nursery. Therefore, it is necessary to give active and passive information about mixtures' stability to ensure their effectiveness and safety.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgements to the Congress.

No conflict of interest.

5PSQ-023 ADEQUATE DIGOXIN DOSAGE IN PATIENTS WITH DIGITALIS TOXICITY

¹JJ Martínez Simón*, ²A Martín Suárez, ¹AM Gómez Pedrero, ¹M Pérez Encinas. ¹Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Hospital Pharmacy, Alcorcón, Spain; ²Universidad De Salamanca, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology, Salamanca, Spain

10.1136/ejhp-pharm-2019-eahpconf.456

Background Digoxin is a high-alert medication because of its narrow therapeutic range and high drug-to-drug interactions. Fifty per cent of cases of digoxin toxicity can be prevented by improving treatment with digoxin.

Purpose Checking whether the dosage of digoxin in intoxicated patients accords with clinical guidelines' recommendations.

Material and methods Retrospective study of patients discharged between 2015–2017, presented as a primary or secondary diagnosis of digitalis toxicity. Variables: date of birth, sex, weight, size, diagnosis for treatment with digoxin – atrial fibrillation (AF) or heart failure (HF) – daily dose of digoxin, serum creatinine, digoxinemia and Potasemia (K⁺). It was estimated whether the dosage of digoxin was correct based on anthropometric data and doses of daily digoxin using PKs. For those inadequately dosed patients, daily doses of adequate digoxin were calculated. The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated by MDR/CKD-EPI.

Results Sixty-four patients (47 females), median age: 83.7 years (55–102), median weight: 69.2 kg (45.5–105 kg) with 52% below 70 kg were considered in the dosage recommendations. The mean value of GFR 50, 65 mL/min (SD=19.9) (77%<60 mL/min): 67% [K⁺]≤4.5 meq/dL. Diagnosis for treatment: HF in 34 patients and AF in 30 patients. The average dose of digoxin prior to admission was 0.163 mg/day (SD=0.06). The average digoxinemia at income was two, 94 ng/mL (SD=1.36). The serum digoxin concentrations justified intoxication in most patients. Only two patients presented with serum digoxin concentrations below 1 ng/mL: 81% greater than 2 ng/mL. No significant differences were found between doses, concentrations or level/dose index of digoxin of patients diagnosed with HF and AF. A significant relationship (p<0.003) was found

between dose or level/dose index and patient's GFR. Doses estimated to obtain concentrations within therapeutic range were 0, 110 mg/day (considering age, sex, weight and GFR), that is, 32.4% less than the pre-admission dose. Nine patients met the STOPP criterion of inappropriate prescription for administering doses of digoxin >0.125 mg/day to patients older than 65 years with GFR <50 mL/min.

Conclusion Clinical guidelines recommend evaluating renal function (K⁺) and serum digoxin concentration, considering the appropriate range for HF (0.6–0.8 ng/dL) and AF (0.8–1.0 ng/dL). Control of potassium levels would be insufficient, and doses administered higher than those necessary for the recommended therapeutic range. Monitoring of serum digoxin concentrations could reduce digitalis toxicity.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.

5PSQ-024 MEDICATION ERRORS – A CAUSE FOR MAJOR CARDIOVASCULAR EVENTS IN AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

¹MA Neag*, ¹DM Prunea, ¹IC Bocsan, ²MF Neag, ³A Catinean, ¹AD Buzoianu. ¹Iuliu Hatieganu' University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacology- Toxicology and Clinical Pharmacology- Faculty of Medicine, Cluj-Napoca, Romania; ²Institute of Oncology 'Prof. Dr. Ion Chiricuta', Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania; ³Iuliu Hatieganu' University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Internal Medicine – Faculty of Medicine, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

10.1136/ejhp-pharm-2019-eahpconf.457

Background Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) represent the main cause of mortality worldwide. The drugs recommended for CVD are the most prescribed drugs and, as a consequence, the risk of medication errors is increased. Nowadays, medication errors are the most common type of medical errors.

Purpose The objective of this study was to assess the major cardiovascular events due to medication errors in an emergency department (ED).

Material and methods A retrospective observational study was conducted in 416 patients with major cardiovascular problems (acute coronary syndrome – SCA, ischaemic/haemorrhagic stroke, hypertensive crisis) in an ED from 1 July 2017 to 31 August 2017.

Results A total of 9086 patients were admitted to the ED during July to August 2017. Of these, 416 patients (4.57%) presented with major cardiovascular events, 220 females (52.9%) and 196 males (47.1%). The mean age of the analysed patients was 67.68±14.2 years. The most common cardiovascular events were strokes (50%), hypertensive crisis (34.4%) and acute coronary syndrome (14.7%). In 99 out of 416 patients (23.8%), medication errors were identified. The main medication errors were lack of anti-platelet/anticoagulant therapy (43.43%), non-adherence to treatment (16.16%), inadequate anti-hypertensive therapy (7.07%) and inappropriate treatment (e.g. association between two calcium channel blockers) (1.01%).

Conclusion Medication errors are one of the major causes of major cardiovascular events. Many of the medication errors leading to a visit to the ED could be prevented. It is necessary to develop prevention strategies. Clinical pharmacologists/pharmacists can play an important role in this strategy.