Background Patient engagement is considered critical in improving quality of care provided by the healthcare system. Developed recently by our hospital, the ‘Patient experience’ is a programme collecting patient’s journey experiential feedback with the aim of establishing a continuous improvement method. As part of a project focusing on the improvement of patient’s pathways for patients receiving chemotherapy in our oncology day hospital, a ‘Patient experience’ was carried out.

Purpose The aim was to collect and analyse patients’ feedback to improve this care pathway.

Material and methods A map describing the patient’s journey was performed to identify the critical steps. An interview guide, focusing on medication management at each step and, more specifically on chemotherapy, was developed and validated with the pharmacists, the oncologist, the head nurse and the nurses. Non-recorded semistructured interviews were conducted by both a student and a pharmacist or alone by a resident until data collection reached saturation point.

Patients with communication difficulties, cognitive impairment or severe asthenia were excluded. The interview’s results were summarised in a ‘map of emotions’. For each step of the hospital stay, the map presented a positive and negative patient’s impression. A general feedback was then delivered to health professionals involved in the project.

Results In total, 20 interviews were conducted. The average age of participants was 62 years (29–82). Among them, 70% (n=14) were treated for less than 6 months. The average interview duration was 21 min (10–45). Overall, the care provided at the hospital received good feedback. The improvement’s axes were: the lack of achievement and enrolment for pharmacy interview of patients who had a PICC-line or an oral chemotherapy, for explaining the treatment. Conclusion These interviews were very informative, highlighting a good overall level of care delivered and allowing us to identify some issues to consider. This innovative method is very customer-focused, leading to the identification of patient’s real needs and avoiding top-down solutions sometimes proposed by healthcare professionals, which do not take into account patient’s point of view.
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Background Renal insufficiency is relatively common among hospitalised patients, and is associated with an increase in hospitalisation-related morbidity and mortality. Drug-dosing errors are common in patients with renal impairment and can cause adverse effects and poor outcomes.

Purpose The purpose of this study was to evaluate the benefit of the Renal Function Based Dosage Adjustment System in a tertiary hospital.

Material and methods This was a single institutional, retrospective pre/post study conducted over 3 month periods within 9 years. In August 2006, the Renal Function Based Dosage Adjustment System which monitored drug prescription and generated a real-time alerting window, was implemented and has operated well in a tertiary hospital in Korea. We analysed prescription and alert data of the tertiary hospital’s Healthcare Information System and compared the pre-renal dosing system versus the post-renal dosing system from April to June 2006, 2007 and 2015.

Results Among the patients whose admission and discharge periods were included during the study period, 7587 patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate of less than 60 and who required dose adjustment according to the patient’s renal function. The rate of inappropriate prescription was 8.7% in 2006, 7.4% in 2007 and 2.7% in 2015. The drug classes that most frequently generated alerts were the H2 blocker (44.2% in early clinical decision support system (CDSS) period, 52.8% in the late CDSS period) and antimicrobials (17.0% in the early CDSS period, 52.8% in the late CDSS period).

Conclusion The current system may be practically useful in the improvement of safety in renal-insufficient patients resulting in the realisation of effective pharmacotherapy. To improve the clinical acceptance of alerts, this system should strive to maximise the effectiveness of alerts/minimise over-alerting.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-alert medication</th>
<th>Error or reason of doubt</th>
<th>Protocol of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral anticoagulants</td>
<td>Lack of knowledge of dose and dosage schedule.</td>
<td>Transcription of the haematology guideline by the pharmacy service and dispensation of the right dose for each day. Establish INR monitoring protocols.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td>Confusion between doses and concentration. Possible confusion with insulin when dosed also in units.</td>
<td>Reduce the variety of available presentations and indicate that heparin should be separated from insulin as well as from other drugs that are prescribed in units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulins</td>
<td>Confusion between the different types, marks and concentrations.</td>
<td>Prescription by trademark, decrease the number of presentations in the hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous potassium chloride</td>
<td>Storage of the solutions concentrated in the kits.</td>
<td>Remove potassium vials from care units and use pre-mixed potassium prepared by industry or pharmacy service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral metformin</td>
<td>Daily administration instead of weekly.</td>
<td>Treatments conciliation (dosage and frequency of administration) to avoid overdosing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion The implementation of specific practices, including packaging, labelling, storage, prescription and preparation, as well as the establishment of standardised protocols of action in the hospital will help to reduce the errors of medication.
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Background Falls in hospitalised patients (FHPs) represent the most common adverse event in a hospital setting that can increase hospitalisation stay.

Purpose The aim of this study was to identify the risk factors related to FHPs.

Material and methods We analysed 65 falls of 61 patients that occurred in our institute from January 2013 to May 2018. There were identified patient-related risk factors (age, gender, body mass index, diseases, postoperative status, need of assistance and previous fall in the past 6 months) and therapy-related risk factors, such as the presence of fall-risk-increasing drugs (FRIDs) reported in the literature.

Results 19.7% (12/61) of the fallen patients were aged under 60 years, 45.9% (28/61) between 60 and 70 years, 31.1% (19/61) between 70 and 80 years, while 3.3% (2/61) were over 80 years. 68.9% (42/61) of the patients were males, while 31.1% (19/61) were females. 96.7% (59/61) had predisposing factors to FHPs, 55.7% (34/61) were overweight and 1.6% (1/61) were underweight. 44.3% (27/61) required total care, while 27.9% (17/61) required partial assistance. In 40% (26/65) of the FHPs, the patients were in a postoperative care, while in 31.1% (19/65) of FHPs, the patients had fallen in the previous 6 months. In 35.4% (23/65) of the FHPs, one or more diagnostic tests were necessary, for a total amount of 33 examinations. In 96.9% (63/65) of the reported falls, the patients were in polytherapy and assumed FRIDs, with an average of 7.3 FRIDs per patient: the most representative classes of FRIDs were cardiovascular drugs in 47.4% (227/479), hypoglycaemics in 12.1% (58/479), proton pump inhibitors in 11.3% (54/479), laxatives in 7.1% (34/479), opioids in 6.9% (33/479) and anxiolytics in 5% (24/479). The most frequent FRIDs were furosemide in 14.2% (68/479), omeprazole in 9.8 (47/479), insulin lispro in 5.4% (26/479) and tramadol in 5.2% (23/479).

Conclusion This analysis shows some critical points that required the implementation of preventive and safety measures, in order to reduce the incidence of FHPs. We propose to perform: frequent fall-risk assessments of each patient through appropriate assessment scales; greater attention to drug therapy; and adequate training of healthcare professionals.
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