Material and methods The high-alert medication list was obtained through the Institute for the Safe Use of Medicines. We analysed the drugs included in it and we selected those that were reasons for doubt and by those who called more frequently to the hospital pharmacy service to clarify doses, routes of administration and so on: in general, those that caused failures in the process of using them. We also tried to analyse the circumstances that could motivate these doubts or errors.

These drugs were: oral anticoagulant, heparin, insulins, intravenous potassium chloride and oral methotrexate.

Results

We analysed the drugs included in it and we selected those that were reasons for doubt and by those who called more frequently to the hospital pharmacy service to clarify doses, routes of administration and so on: in general, those that caused failures in the process of using them. We also tried to analyse the circumstances that could motivate these doubts or errors.

These drugs were: oral anticoagulant, heparin, insulins, intravenous potassium chloride and oral methotrexate.

Conclusion

The implementation of specific practices, including packaging, labelling, storage, prescription and preparation, as well as the establishment of standardised protocols of action in the hospital will help to reduce the errors of medication.
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Background

Falls in hospitalised patients (FHPs) represent the most common adverse event in a hospital setting that can increase hospitalisation stay.

Purpose

The aim of this study was to identify the risk factors related to FHPs.

Material and methods

We analysed 65 falls of 61 patients that occurred in our institute from January 2013 to May 2018. There were identified patient-related risk factors (age, gender, body mass index, diseases, postoperative status, need of assistance and previous fall in the past 6 months) and therapy-related risk factors, such as the presence of fall-risk-increasing drugs (FRIDs) reported in the literature.

Results

19.7% (12/61) of the fallen patients were aged under 60 years, 45.9% (28/61) between 60 and 70 years, 31.1% (19/61) between 70 and 80 years, while 3.3% (2/61) were over 80 years. 68.9% (42/61) of the patients were males, while 31.1% (19/61) were females. 96.7% (59/61) had predisposing factors to FHPs, 55.7% (34/61) were overweight and 1.6% (1/61) were underweight. 44.3% (27/61) required total care, while 27.9% (17/61) required partial assistance. In 40% (26/61) of the FHPs, the patients were in a postoperative care, while in 31.1% (19/61) of FHPs, the patients had fallen in the previous 6 months. 35.4% (23/61) of the FHPs, one or more diagnostic tests were necessary, for a total amount of 33 examinations. In 96.9% (63/65) of the reported falls, the patients were in polytherapy and assumed FRIDs, with an average of 7.3 FRIDs per patient: the most representative classes of FRIDs were cardiovascular drugs in 47.4% (227/479), hypoglycaemics in 12.1% (58/479), proton pump inhibitors in 11.3% (54/479), laxatives in 7.1% (34/479), opioids in 6.9% (33/47) and anxiolytics in 5% (24/479). The most frequent FRIDs were furosemide in 14.2% (68/479), omeprazole in 9.8 (47/479), insulin lispro in 5.4% (26/479) and tramadol in 5.2% (23/479).

Conclusion

This analysis shows some critical points that required the implementation of preventive and safety measures, in order to reduce the incidence of FHPs. We propose to perform: frequent fall-risk assessments of each patient through appropriate assessment scales; greater attention to drug therapy; and adequate training of healthcare professionals.
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Background

The elderly are particularly at increased risk of adverse drug reactions (ADR) attributed in the main to polypharmacy, poor compliance and physiological changes affecting the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of many drugs. The tracer pharmacist (TP) can support physicians to ensure the appropriate and safe use of drugs, and stimulate patient reporting to the pharmacovigilance system.

Purpose

The aim of this study was to identify the risk factors inherent in the daily drug intake, in order to prevent/reduce the incidence of ADR and to increase the reporting of them.

Material and methods

A preliminary prospective observational study was performed by the TP in September 2018. Sixty elderly inpatients and outpatients were included. After acquiring informed consent, patient questionnaires were administered to evaluate the correct use of drugs and the use of Over the
Counter (OTC) drugs, supplements and herbal products. An educational brochure had been created and was sent to the elderly patients during the interviews.

Results The average age of patients in the study was 72.7 years and 70% (42/60) of patients were males. 95% (57/60) of the patients were expected for outpatient visits and the remaining 5% (3/60) were hospitalised. The most common reason for hospitalisation was cardiovascular diseases 46.6% (28/60). There was an average of two comorbidities and 78.3% (47/60) of patients were in polytherapy (≥4 drugs). Antihypertensives were the most frequently used drugs 63.3% (38/60), 6/60 (10%) patients reported a drug allergy, in particular Betamethasone, Iopromide, Ranolazine, Levofloxacin, Cefuroxime and Amoxicillin clavulanate. 16/60 (26.6%) of patients reported the use of paracetamol as OTC when needed, 10/60 (16.6%) patients reported the use of supplements and only 2/60 (3.3%) patients the use of herbal products. A good adherence therapy and knowledge of ADR reporting methods emerged from the interviews. 2/60 (3.3%) patients reported ADR, respectively diarrhoea and proctoclasia related to Nintedanib and head and hand tremor related to Tacrolimus. These ADRs have been reported in the pharmacovigilance system.

Conclusion This direct approach with elderly patients has been important in focusing on their particular needs, and multidisciplinary teamwork has improved the risk/benefit ratio of the therapies. Further data will be recorded.
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5PSQ-121 QUALITY ASSESSMENT WITHIN FRENCH FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICES PHARMACIES IN THE NORTH OF FRANCE: DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF A SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL

Background The organisation of Pharmacies of French Fire and Rescue Department Services (FFRDS) progressively switches to an operating mode currently applied in hospital pharmacies. FFRDS pharmacies have very specific activities and, currently, there is no self-assessment tool available that enables assessment of the quality system (QS).

Purpose Primary aim of this study was to develop a QS self-assessment tool compatible with healthcare products (HP) management. Another goal was to set up a state of QS within the different pharmacies of FFRDS in the north of France.

Material and methods The first step was to create an expert group. It was composed of 15 members in different professions. Then, an audit checklist made up of 194 items was constructed. Each item was rated according to a risk level (from 0 ‘no risk’ to 3 ‘unacceptable risk’) and to an effort level required to control this risk (from 0 ‘no effort’ to 3 ‘major effort’). Finally, computer modelling was done (Excel file).

Results A quantitative analysis was made from the results of five FFRDS pharmacies. This analysis revealed a high risk linked particularly to: pharmaceutical analysis and validation of medical prescriptions (70%), HP preparation and dispensation (67%). However, the risk related to HP purchase was low (20%). Furthermore, 16% of all the studied items showed a risk higher than 80%, whereas 32% showed a risk below 20%.

The qualitative analysis demonstrated a fair balance between the proportion of items categorised as ‘unacceptable’ and ‘bearable’. The result range for the proportion of items classified as ‘unacceptable’ spans 3% to 34%.

As for the effort level required to control the risk, most items that have not been validated required a ‘low intensity’