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Background Medication errors lead to higher morbidity, mortality and expenditure. The likelihood of mistakes is higher in the Emergency Department (ED).

Purpose To determine the incidence, the type of discrepancies and reconciliation errors (RE) upon admission to an ED, and the drugs involved.

Material and methods Prospective observational study, including patients admitted to the ED pending hospitalisation, during a period of 3 weeks (9–27 April 2018). The variables collected were: sex, age, number of home medications, number of discrepancies justified by the patient’s clinical evolution (DJ) and not justified requiring clarification (DNJ), type of RE detected according to the Consensus Statement of the Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy and drugs involved. Programme coverage indicator, quality prescription indicators and medication reconciliation process indicators were calculated. The medication reconciliation process (MRP) was carried out through a clinical interview with the patient/carer, and the data obtained from the electronic clinical history and the primary care electronic records.

Results MRP was performed in 61 of the 216 patients admitted (coverage rate of 28.24%). 55.74% were males, with an average age of 70.61±14.86 years (72.13%>65 years). The median of home medications was 8 (range 1–18). Ninety-three discrepancies were detected, of which 22.58% were DJ, while the remaining 77.42% were considered DNJ. The quality indicators of the prescription were determined, obtaining the following results: 57.38% patients with RE, 42% medications with RE and 1.20 RE per patient. Regarding quality indicators of the MRP, the detected RE were 58.33%, and were classified into: 37 (88.10%) medication omissions, four (9.52%) dose errors, and one (2.38%) wrong medication. The drugs involved were: 19 (45.24%) lipid modifying agents, five (11.90%) antidepressants, four (9.52%) thyroid hormones, four (9.52%) drugs used in benign prostatic hyperplasia, two (4.76%) antipsychotics, two (4.76%) anti-glaucoma drugs and miotics, two (4.76%) insulins and analogues, one (2.38%) beta-blocking agents, one (2.38%) digitalis glycosides, one (2.38%) organic nitrates and one (2.38%) vitamin D and analogues.

Conclusion The RE affected more than half of the patients admitted to the ED. The most prevalent discrepancy was the omission of medication and the drugs most implicated were statins.
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Background Patient-specific preparations have become a central therapy concept in oncological treatment. The highly potent cytostatic agents are characterised by a narrow therapeutic range. Therefore, exact dosage is important, as lower amounts reduce the effectiveness and higher doses increase the risk of severe side effects. Compound confusion can even result in
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Background Medication reconciliation (MedRec) is the process of comparing a patient’s medication orders to all of the medications that the patient has been taking. This reconciliation is done to avoid medication errors such as drug interactions. The World Health Organization has recognized MedRec as a recommended standard of quality in health assistance.

Purpose The aim of this analysis was to estimate the prevalence of patients exposed to potentially relevant drug-drug interaction (DDI) at hospital discharge.

Material and methods This was an observational retrospective study involving patients with cardiovascular diseases discharged from our hospital between December 2016 and May 2017.

A total of 1033 patients were included in this study and 8005 drug prescriptions at discharge were analysed (7.75 per patient). DDIs were classified as moderate (pharmacological effects must be controlled by individual dose adjustment or on the basis of drug plasma concentration) or severe (drug combination should be avoided in clinical practice).

Results Among 1033 patients included, 271 (26.2%) were exposed to at least one potential DDI. In particular, 173 patients were discharged with one interaction (16.7%), 54 patients with two interactions (5.2%), 23 patients with three interactions (2.2%) and 21 were exposed to four or more DDIs (2%). A total of 445 DDIs were recorded, 75.1% were classified as moderate and 24.9% as severe interactions. The median number of DDIs per patients with interactions was 1.6 (range 1–7). The most frequent severe interaction was the combination of some selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (Paroxetine, Sertraline and Citalopram) and Furosemide (n=46;1%). This combination is known to be associated with an increased risk of cardiotoxicity (QT prolongation and cardiac arrest).

Conclusion From this first analysis, it emerged that one-third of our patients were discharged with at least one potential DDI and a remarkable portion of these combinations was severe. The next step will be to investigate whether adverse clinical events, readmission or death after discharge could be associated with a potentially severe DDI. The final target will be the involvement of a clinical pharmacist within a multidisciplinary team to highlight potential DDIs at discharge and minimise the occurrence of the related risks.
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Background Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is a pregnancy-related reversible hepatic disease. The clinical importance of ICP lies in neonatal and maternal ICP-associated complications which include higher rates of perinatal morbidity and mortality, increased rates of caesarean sections, increased risk of meconium staining of amniotic fluid, antenatal demise, fetal bradycardia, fetal distress and fetal demise. The underlying mechanisms associated with poor neonatal outcome have been shown to be associated with elevated maternal total serum bile acids (40 mmol/L) antenatally.

Us rodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) has shown to result in a significant improvement in symptomatic relief, biochemical markers and gestational age of delivery in patients with ICP. However, a consensus is lacking for the optimal UDCA dosing regimen.

Purpose The study is primarily to compare the effect of a high versus low dose of us rodeoxycholic acid in maternal and neonatal outcomes. This study will also determine the characteristics associated with ICP in a cohort of patients.

Material and methods Design: Retrospective cohort study as ICP is a rarely occurring hepatic disease.

Setting: Most ICP patients get diagnosed or referred to governmental hospitals located in their area of residence for inpatient and outpatient care.

Participants: ICP patients who underwent management of their disease in Ob/Gyn units between July 2016 and July 2017. Patients were identified using institutional medical records.

Main outcome measures: Maternal outcomes: Mode of delivery, gestational age at diagnosis and gestational age at delivery. Neonatal outcomes: APGAR score: 1 min, 5 min and 15 min; birthweight in g and NICU admission.

Results None of the patients had a history or concurrent diagnosis of other hepatic or biliary disease. A small proportion of both the high-dose and low-dose study population had histories of ICP in previous pregnancies: three in the high-dose group and two in the low-dose group. The mean bile acid level upon diagnosis was 19.7 mmol/L in the high-dose group paralleled to 17 mmol/L in the low-dose group. Other neonatal and maternal outcomes will be presented in the poster.

Conclusion This study failed to detect or prove the difference in the maternal and neonatal clinical outcomes between the UDCA high- and low-dose groups.
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Background Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is a pregnancy-related reversible hepatic disease. The clinical importance of ICP lies in neonatal and maternal ICP-associated complications which include higher rates of perinatal morbidity and mortality, increased rates of caesarean sections, increased risk of meconium staining of amniotic fluid, preterm delivery, fetal bradycardia, fetal distress and fetal demise. The underlying mechanisms associated with poor