intensive care unit (NICU), to facilitate the procedure of MV and enhance the ventilator-patient synchrony, in addition to pain relief.

Purpose To perform a cost-effectiveness analysis of morphine versus fentanyl in agitated neonates with RDS undergoing MV in the NICU setting.

Material and methods A retrospective cost-effectiveness analysis of critically ill neonates with RDS receiving morphine versus fentanyl at a Women's Wellness and Research Centre. The clinical data of neonates were extracted from the medical records of patients within the 2014–2016 period. A decision analytic model, from the hospital perspective, was constructed to follow the possible consequences of sedation. The primary endpoints were the successful drug sedation rate, based on the Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP) scoring scale, and the overall direct medical cost of therapy of managing acute agitation in the neonates. A study population size of 124 neonates was calculated to achieve results with 80% power and P0.05 significance. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to enhance the robustness of conclusions against input uncertainties, and increase the generalisability of results.

Results All baseline demographic characteristics were not significantly different between both groups. A multivariate analysis of covariance model demonstrated that the statistical difference between morphine and fentanyl did not statistically change after accounting for baseline differences of values of PIPP scores, birthweight and gestational age (P-value=1.00). Morphine achieved a sedation success of 68%, versus 43% with fentanyl, risk ratio 1.72, 95% CI 1.16 to 2.56, P-value=0.0075. Morphine was associated with a minimal incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of \$135 per additional case of sedation over fentanyl. Based on uncertainty analyses, however, this higher morphine cost was reported in only 2% of patient cases. Sensitivity analyses demonstrated insensitivity of the study model to the study input uncertainties, except for the NICU stay and cost of MV.

Conclusion This is the first cost-effectiveness evaluation of morphine versus fentanyl in a NICU. Morphine significantly improved sedation over fentanyl. There is a 98% probability that morphine is dominant over fentanyl.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the receipt of study funding by the Office of Academic Research.

No conflict of interest.

1ISG-017

CLINICAL AND ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS OF MORPHINE AND FENTANYL WITH MECHANICAL VENTILATION IN INTENSIVE CARE SETTINGS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF METHODOLOGICAL TRENDS AND REPORTING QUALITY

¹D Abushanab*, ¹O Alsoukhni, ²D Al-Badriyeh. ¹Hamad Medical Corporation, Pharmacy, Doha, Qatar; ²Qatar University, College of Pharmacy, Doha, Qatar

10.1136/ejhp-harm-2019-eahpconf.17

Background Patients with respiratory disorders, including respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), require mechanical ventilation (MV) to maintain the pulmonary function. MV, however, is an invasive procedure that requires the administration of sedatives to simplify the procedure. Fentanyl and morphine are widely used opioids as sedatives in the intensive care unit (ICU).

While there is less potential of morphine to cause tolerance, fentanyl has a faster onset and shorter duration of action.

Purpose To summarise the characteristics and gaps in methods and quality of reports of the comparative clinical and economic evaluations on the use of fentanyl and morphine in patients with respiratory disorders undergoing MV in the ICU settings.

Material and methods The electronic databases Medline, Embase, OVID, Science Direct, Springer Link and EconLit were used to identify comparative studies of either fentanyl or morphine or both, in the management of ventilated patients with respiratory disorders in the ICU. The outcome measures were the trends of methodological characteristics and designs of included studies. Appraisal of studies was performed via the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials, Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology and Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards checklists.

Results Among 1327 found articles, 33 met the inclusion criteria. Twenty-two studies were conducted in adults, eight in neonates and three in paediatrics. No head-to-head morphine versus fentanyl evaluation was explicitly undertaken only in participants with respiratory conditions. Studies relied on various types of scales to measure the sedation level as a primary study outcome, which limits the comparability of conclusions. Economic outcomes were evaluated in seven studies, only in adults and all from the hospital perspective. The same sedation regimen performed differently in various studies based on different endpoints. All of the randomised controlled trials, observational cohort and pharmacoeconomics studies did not meet the majority of assessed reporting quality criteria.

Conclusion Although the use of sedative regimens to manage mechanically ventilated patients with respiratory disorders is very high, the heterogeneity of studies disables the comparison of findings and, consequently, the construction of clear conclusions regarding the most effective and cost-effective sedatives. Evidence generated from poor reported studies may result in uninformed decisions by decision makers.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

No conflict of interest.

1ISG-018

ORGANISATIONAL COMMITMENT OF HOSPITAL PHARMACISTS, RELATING TO THE SUPPORTIVE ORGANISATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

M Ahn*, H Jeong. Veterans Health Service Medical Centre, Pharmacy Department, Seoul, South Korea

10.1136/ejhp-harm-2019-eahpconf.18

Background As hospital pharmacists have expanded their role from simple drug dispensing to patient-oriented clinical practice, they have augmented their professionalism (the attitudes and belief as professional (PF)) as hospital pharmacists. Thus it has been important to make them commit to hospital organisation.

Purpose This study examines how a supportive organisational environment (SOE) influences organisational commitment (the attitudes and behaviours to devote themselves to their organisation (OC)) of hospital pharmacists in South Korea. In particular, we have analysed the role of professionalism in the relationship.