

In the FP group, 188 PIs were registered (mean interventions/patient 3.1 (DE 2.3)): 43.6% were medical reconciliation errors, 16.5% were to discontinue a prescription (DP), 11.2% were omission of a drug in the acute treatment (ODAT) and 12.7% were other reasons. A total of 22.3% of the interventions were made in HRD (85.7% accepted) and 12.2% in PID (73.9% accepted).

In the ROP group, 370 PIs were registered (mean interventions/patient 1.25 (DE 0.6)): 29.5% were incorrect dose, 18.1% were medical reconciliation errors, 14.7% were exchange of a drug was proposed, 7.8% were adjustment to renal function, 5.4% were DP, 5.1% were ODAT and 19.4% were other. A total of 19.5% of interventions were done in HRD (75.0% accepted) and 11.4% in PID (40.5% accepted).

The approval rates for FP and ROP were 80.9% and 69%, respectively. Results were presented to the hospital's security commission. Six security measurements were accepted and implemented, two related to HRD (insulin and anticoagulants).

Conclusion and relevance The high rates of acceptance of the PIs showed that the integration of the pharmacist in the multidisciplinary ED team improved the safety of the prescriptions, especially when the pharmacist was physically present.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.

4CPS-171 PHARMACISTS AT THE HEALTH CENTRE

A Falk*, M Dahl. Region Kronoberg, Drug Department, Växjö, Sweden

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.272

Background and importance The drug department in the region of Kronoberg in the south of Sweden was assigned to investigate the participation of pharmacists in primary care to increase patient safety.

Aim and objectives The aim of the study was to establish a model for pharmacists at the healthcare centre whose purpose was to improve drug follow-up, get more skilled patients and ease the work for doctors and nurses.

Material and methods Two pharmacists visited one health centre each 1 day a week during the period October 2016–June 2017. Patients ≥ 75 years receiving ≥ 5 drugs were included in the study by a nurse. The pharmacists met the patients for 30 min for medication reconciliation and information. After the visit the pharmacist did the medication review and documented drug related problems in the journal, including proposals to the doctor to optimise the medication. The model was evaluated by patients, nurses and doctors in two different surveys.

Results In total, the pharmacists analysed the medication for 116 patients: 81 of 106 patients (76%) answered the survey and 90% were satisfied or quite satisfied with the meeting with the pharmacist. Most of the patients experienced better knowledge about their medication after they met the pharmacist. Among other things, they appreciated the extra time for medication discussions, the possibility to get their questions answered and they felt safer in their medication.

Thirteen doctors and four nurses answered the survey. Most of the doctors were satisfied to cooperate with the pharmacist and to have the pharmacist as a support to optimise their prescribing. Most of the doctors thought that the time they usually spent on reading the journal, reading the drug

list and doing the medication reconciliation decreased or was the same. Most doctors and nurses (70%) wanted access to pharmacists in the future; 30% answered “do not know”.

Conclusion and relevance The study has contributed to improve drug follow-up and more skilled patients. It has also contributed to ease the work for doctors and nurses, in terms of both time and quality. The evaluated model can be applied to other health centres in the region of Kronoberg in Sweden.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.

4CPS-172 OFF-LABEL USE OF INTRALESIONAL CIDOFOVIR IN RECURRENT RESPIRATORY PAPILLOMATOSIS: A CASE REPORT

A Ganfornina Andrades*, MDC Jiménez De-Juan, A Salguero Olid, MJ Fernández Anguita. Puerta Del Mar University Hospital, Pharmacy, Cádiz, Spain

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.273

Background and importance Recurrent respiratory papillomatosis (RRP) is a rare disease that predominantly affects the larynx and trachea, but it can spread to any other part of the respiratory tract. The aetiological agent of RRP is human papilloma virus types 6 and 11. Treatment options in RRP include surgical excision and adjuvant antiviral drug administration.

Aim and objectives To describe the preparation of intralesional cidofovir as a magistral formula and its clinical effect in a patient with RRP.

Material and methods We performed a descriptive study of RRP in a 3-year-old child with dysphonia since birth. Papillomatous lesions were located on the vocal folds and the laryngeal surface of the epiglottis. The patient underwent a surgical intervention in September and November 2018. In January 2019, due to new recurrence, physicians decided to start treatment with 5 mg/mL intralesional cidofovir, one injection of 10 mg every 2 weeks.

Results The preparation was prepared taking 0.2 mL (15 mg) from the commercial presentation and filling it with physiological saline solution to obtain a final volume of 3 mL, resulting in a 5 mg/mL concentration. The mixture was prepared in a vertical laminar flow hood and aseptically filled into luer lock syringes, each one containing 1 mL, and the rest of the mixture was thrown out. The preparation was kept in cold storage (2–8°C). The shelf life of the prefilled syringes for intralesional administration was limited to 24 hours in order to minimise the risk of microbial contamination.

The patient received six injections of cidofovir from February to May 2019. The child presented good tolerance without reduction of lesions and symptoms, despite a slight dose increase in the last injection. After failure of intralesional cidofovir, the patient started adjuvant treatment with alpha-2b-interferon and indole-3-carbinol in order to decrease the frequency of papilloma recurrence and reduce the number of surgeries required.

Conclusion and relevance The formulation was simple, and it did not take a long time to prepare. However, in our case, intralesional cidofovir administration did not seem to be an effective treatment of RRP, although there is evidence available suggesting otherwise.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.

4CPS-173 ROLE OF THE PHARMACIST IN THE MULTIDISCIPLINARY MANAGEMENT OF OSTEOMYELITIS: FROM MEDICATION RECONCILIATION TO SUPPORT IN CLINICAL DECISION MAKING

P Gendre*, P Nizet, S Cesbron, J Mocquard, JF Huon, N Serandour, E Corbineau. *University Hospital, Pharmacy, Nantes, France*

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.274

Background and importance Since 2015, a pharmacist/resident duo has been conducting drug reconciliation and medication review in the orthopaedic surgery department. They participate in multidisciplinary team (MDT) meetings to discuss patient with osteomyelitis. These clinical case conferences take place every week to determine the most suitable surgical and medical treatments for individual patients.

Aim and objectives The objective of this study was to assess the impact of the pharmacist's involvement in the MDT meetings on the medical management of patients with osteomyelitis.

Material and methods A prospective study was conducted on all pharmaceutical recommendations (PRs) made during the MDT meetings. The data collection period was from June to September 2019. All patients had their medications reconciled previously. We used the drug related problem classification system (DRP)¹ to rate the PRs and to identify the problems, causes, types and outcomes of these interventions.

Results Of the 17 MDT meetings, 220 patient records were reviewed and 24 PRs were identified. The pharmacist provided information about the patient, along with treatment and recommendations in 38% of cases (renal function, galenic alternatives, previous prescriptions, availability and cost of the drug). For 62% of patients, this information changed the therapeutic decision: choice of antibiotic (33%), potential interactions with long term medications (29%), need to add a drug (12.5%) and optimal dosing for 8% of cases (subtherapeutic in 4%, overdosing in 4%). A large majority (95.8%) of the recommendations were accepted by the prescribers. The most common class of medication was systemic antibiotics (88%).

Conclusion and relevance The work of medication reconciliation and checking prescriptions was carried out by the pharmacist in the orthopaedic department and this allowed better understanding of the patient and their medication. By participating in MDT meetings, the pharmacist can communicate directly with the prescriber and contribute to clinical decision making regarding anti-infective medications. The clinical pharmacist provided a comprehensive review and therefore played a major role in the medical management of patients with osteomyelitis.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

https://www.pcne.org/upload/files/334_PCNE_classification_V9-0.pdf

No conflict of interest.

4CPS-174 FACILITATORS AND BARRIERS TO PERFORMING COMPREHENSIVE MEDICATION REVIEWS AND FOLLOW-UP IN OLDER HOSPITALISED PATIENTS BY MULTIPROFESSIONAL WARD TEAMS INCLUDING A CLINICAL PHARMACIST

^{1,2}T Kempen, ¹A Källemark, ³M Sawires, ⁴D Stewart, ^{1,3}U Gillespie*. ¹Uppsala University Hospital, Hospital Pharmacy Department, Uppsala, Sweden; ²Uppsala University, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden; ³Uppsala University, Department of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden; ⁴Qatar University, Qatar University Health - College of Pharmacy, Doha, Qatar

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.275

Background and importance There is a lack of knowledge about factors that influence the performance of comprehensive medication reviews (CMRs) and post-discharge follow-up by multiprofessional ward teams including a clinical pharmacist. A better understanding of these factors is needed to support implementation and sustainability of CMRs or similar services by clinical pharmacists in hospital practice.

Aim and objectives This study aimed to explore the facilitators and barriers to performing CMRs and post-discharge follow-up in older hospitalised patients.

Material and methods Physicians and clinical pharmacists were recruited from an ongoing trial at eight internal medicine or geriatric wards in four hospitals in Sweden. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 16 physicians and 7 pharmacists. Interview topics were: working processes, resources, competences, medication related problems, intervention effects and collaboration. The interviews were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim and thematically analysed using the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR). Identified subthemes were categorised as facilitators or barriers and grouped into overarching main themes.

Results In total, 24 facilitators and 25 barriers were identified across all CFIR domains and grouped into six main themes: (a) CMRs and follow-up are needed, but not in all patients; (b) there is a general belief in positive effects; (c) lack of resources is an issue, although the performance of CMRs may save time; (d) pharmacists' knowledge and skills are valuable, but they need more clinical competence; (e) compatibility with hospital practice is challenging, and roles and responsibilities are unclear; and (f) personal contact on the ward is essential for physician-pharmacist collaboration.

Conclusion and relevance Multiple facilitators and barriers for performing CMRs and post-discharge follow-up in older hospitalised patients exist. These factors should be addressed in future initiatives with similar interventions by multiprofessional teams including a clinical pharmacist to ensure successful implementation and sustainability in hospital practice.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all physicians and pharmacists who participated in this study.

No conflict of interest.