

4CPS-197 MONITORING OF OFF-LABEL USE: ANALYSIS OF PRESCRIPTIONS IN THE PHARMACY'S ANTIBLASTIC DRUGS UNIT

C Panciroli*, L Cervi, D Mazza, L Cavallo, G Mangoni, F Corru', M Dall'aglio, F Ruggiero, MLA Medaglia. *Asst Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, SC Farmacia, Milano, Italy*

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.298

Background and importance In Italy, off-label (OL) drugs are regulated by 94/98 law: medication is used according to a therapeutic indication, dosage, frequency of administration, duration or route of administration different from approved indications.

Aim and objectives The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of OL prescriptions, duration of treatment, effectiveness and the economic impact of this treatments in a large tertiary hospital.

Material and methods A retrospective analysis was conducted on authorised OL applications received from January to December 2018. We included only OL managed by the pharmacy's antiblastic unit (UFA). Clinical data were collected from the hospital prescription database 'Farmasafe' (drug, indication, department, duration of treatment and cost). Data were followed-up until September 2019 to ensure the justified maintenance of OL in terms of effectiveness and cost. We considered total effectiveness (healings), partial effectiveness (arrested pathology) or not assessable (drug was not given, treatment not completed for progression, toxicity or never started treatment).

Results During 2018, the UFA received a total of 56 OL authorised requests. The departments were: haematology (35%), nephrology (26.3%), oncology (12.2%), ophthalmology (8.7%) and other (12%). The most prescribed drugs were: rituximab (37.5%), mitomycin (12.5%), bendamustine (10.7%), azacitidine (5.3%), cyclophosphamide (5.3%), decitabine (5.3%) and other (15.3%).

Treatment for humoral rejection of kidney transplantation (26.7%), acute myeloid leukaemia in allogeneic post-transplant relapse (16%), Hodgkin's lymphoma (8.9%), glaucoma (7.1%), others such as CA metastatic breast and LNH with T cells (5.3%) were the most represented OL indications.

The total hospital cost was estimated at €263 378.00, against a hypothesis of €302 843.00. The prescriptions with the most economic impact per cycle were brentuximab vendotinib (€13 232) and pembrolizumab (€5656). The prescriptions with the lowest economic impact were cyclophosphamide (€11 792), mitomycin (€19) and bendamustine (€500).

For all 56 patients, 67% were totally effective, 19% were partially effective and 14% were not assessable.

Conclusion and relevance The use of OL had a strong ethical value and the pharmacist has an important role to uphold the national law, to consider the appropriateness of prescriptions and to correct allocation of resources. The OL treatments were effective in most patients and were justified on economic grounds and provided a benefit for patients with few therapeutic options.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.

4CPS-198 RESULTS OF A MEDICATION RECONCILIATION PROGRAMME IN COMPLEX CHRONIC PATIENTS AT HOSPITAL DISCHARGE

¹L Perez Cordon*, ¹J Delgado Rodriguez, ¹M Bitlloch Obiols, ²S Marin Rubio, ¹C Agusti Maragall, ¹D Lopez Faixó, ¹A Sanchez Ulayar, ¹L Campins Bernadas, ¹T Gurrera Roig, ³M Miarons Font. ¹Hospital De Mataró, Pharmacy, Mataró, Spain; ²Hospital Universitari Dexeus, Pharmacy, Barcelona, Spain; ³Hospital Universitari Vall D'hebron, Pharmacy, Barcelona, Spain

10.1136/ejhpharm-2020-eahpconf.299

Background and importance Hospital discharge has been described as the care transition in which a major number of incorrect prescriptions occur. Discharge medication reconciliation aims to prevent discrepancies when comparing the in-hospital with the discharge electronic prescription.

Aim and objectives To assess the incidence of unjustified discrepancies during a medication reconciliation programme by pharmacists in complex chronic patients (CCP) at hospital discharge.

Material and methods This was a cross sectional study where we assessed unjustified discrepancies between the in-hospital prescriptions (which are summarised in the discharge report) and the electronic prescriptions for all CCP from April 2019 to May 2019. Data were obtained from the discharge report prescriptions and the electronic prescriptions. Unjustified discrepancies were assessed according to the medical records. CCP were defined as patients with chronic diseases and comorbidities due to socioeconomic, cultural and environmental situations interfering with the decision and the need to implement specific plans. Discrepancies were classified according to: (i) incomplete prescription, (ii) omission, (iii) incorrect dose, (iv) incorrect drug selection, (v) duplicity, (vi) incorrect timing and (vii) incorrect administration route.

Results We analysed the discharge prescriptions of 97 patients. Mean age was 81.7 ± 9.7 years and 50 (51.6%) were women. Seventy-seven (79.4%) patients were admitted to medical wards and 20 (20.6%) to surgical wards. A total of 272 discrepancies were found in 77 (79.4%) patients with a mean of 2.8 ± 2.8 discrepancies per patient: 114 (41.9%) discrepancies were related to incomplete prescription, 70 (25.7%) to omission, 67 (24.6%) to incorrect dose, 10 (3.7%) to incorrect drug selection, 7 (2.6%) to duplicities, 3 (1.1%) to incorrect timing and 1 (0.4%) to incorrect administration route.

Conclusion and relevance We found that about 80% of patients presented at least one unjustified discrepancy. Medication reconciliation is a major component of safe patient care in any environment. Therefore, education of healthcare professionals and implementation of tools such as electronic reconciliation software could be useful to improve safety.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No conflict of interest.