

scored CR technical feasibility (no, hardly, easily, very easily—feasible). ‘Very relevant’ and ‘easily feasible’ CR were retained if average criticality score was ‘high’ when applicable for different specialties (assessed by numerous experts) or ‘extreme’ when applicable for a specific specialty (assessed by only one expert).

Results Fifty-six CR potentially relevant for children were selected from the literature and divided into five risk classes: drug contraindicated (34%), medication and abnormal laboratory value (27%), drug–drug interaction (19%), inadequate administration mode (11%) and prescription omission (9%). Twenty-four CR were retained after expert assessment, 8 (33.3%) concerned both groups, 14 (58.3%) were specific for group A and 2 (8.3%) for group B. The three most critical CR involved prescribing potassium and hyperkalaemia, glucose-lowering drugs and hypoglycaemia, and vancomycin not adjusted to renal function. Development in CDSS was assessed as ‘very easily’ feasible for 5 CR (21%) including 3 CR (12.5%) concerning both groups.

Conclusion and relevance We identified 24 CR in five risk classes that could be monitored using our CDSS. Assessment based on expert opinion according to risk (criticality), clinical practice (relevance) and technical consideration (feasibility) allowed CR prioritisation to be developed. One-fifth of CR would be immediately implementable with some likely to cover the entire paediatric department since they are common to both groups. A pilot study using these CR will assess the workload associated with this new practice.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1. Fox et al. *BJCP* 2016.

Conflict of interest No conflict of interest

4CPS-194 CLINICAL IMPACT OF A PHARMACIST-LED DISCHARGE MEDICATION REVIEW SERVICE: AN ANALYSIS OF PREVALENCE AND ACCEPTANCE OF INTERVENTIONS

¹I Breitschopf*, ¹P Bundeli, ²AE Weidmann. ¹Hirslanden Salem-Spital, Pharmacy Department, Bern, Switzerland; ²University of Innsbruck, Faculty of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Innsbruck, Austria

10.1136/ejhpharm-2022-eahp.195

Background and importance Hospital discharge is linked to an increase in the risk of drug-related problems (DRPs). If these are not recognised and solved, they could be carried over to primary care, with the risk of insufficient follow-up resulting in potential harm to the patient.

Aim and objectives To evaluate a pharmacist-led discharge medication review service by analysing identified DRPs and the acceptance rate of suggested pharmacists’ interventions (PIs) in addition to assessing the clinical significance of these findings.

Material and methods A two-phased mixed method study: (1) retrospective descriptive analyses of the number and type of identified DRPs and recommended interventions based on a validated classification system¹; (2) independent expert panel rating (2 physicians, 1 clinical pharmacist, 1 registered nurse) of the potential clinical impact of a cross section of PIs using the validated rating system CLEO_{de}.² The overall agreement was determined by the Kendall coefficient of concordance.

Results A total of 291 identified DRPs in 205 patients were analysed: the most common included ‘drug interaction’ (34%; n = 99), ‘error in medication process’ (8.2%; n = 24) and ‘duplication’ (8.2%; n = 24). The interventions most frequently suggested were ‘optimisation of administration/route’ (19.6%; n = 57), ‘therapy stopped’ (16.2%; n = 47) and ‘dose adjustment’ (15.8%; n = 46). Physicians accepted 69% (n = 74) of the pharmacists’ recommendations. 64% (n = 38) of the interventions presented to the panel were considered to have a clinical impact. Overall agreement between raters for the clinical impact of PIs was substantial (Kendall κ 0.734; $p < 0.001$).

Conclusion and relevance The expert panel’s independent assessment showed that the pharmacist-led discharge service is clinically beneficial for patients. The prevalence of analysed DRPs and the physicians’ high acceptance rate highlight the valuable role of pharmacists in improving patient safety at the time of discharge.

REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1. Maes KA, et al. *Int J Clin Pract* 2017;**23**(6):1425–1432.
2. Stämpfli D, et al. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2019;**41**(1):56–64.

Conflict of interest No conflict of interest

4CPS-195 MEDICATION-RELATED READMISSIONS: DOCUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATION TO THE NEXT HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS AND PATIENTS

ZY Lee, E Uitvlugt, F Karapinar*. *Olvg, Clinical Pharmacy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

10.1136/ejhpharm-2022-eahp.196

Background and importance Of all readmissions, 21% are medication-related readmissions (MRRs). However, it is unknown whether MRRs are recognised and communicated in the care continuum.

Aim and objectives To assess the proportion of preventable and non-preventable readmissions that contain documentation on the contribution of medication in the patient records (which are then regarded as recognised MRRs).

Material and methods In a previous study, a multidisciplinary team of physicians and pharmacists assessed the medication-relatedness and preventability of unplanned readmissions from seven departments (the gold standard). In the current cross-sectional observational study, patient records were evaluated. A MRR was regarded as documented – and therefore recognised by healthcare providers – when the causal medication(s) was mentioned in patient records (in duplo, using notes from physicians, nurses, pharmacy teams and discharge letters). A MRR was regarded as communicated when documentation was found for the next healthcare providers, patients and/or caregivers. The primary outcome was the proportion of readmissions that contained documentation on the causal medication(s). Secondary outcomes were the differences between the documentation of preventable and non-preventable MRRs and differences in the length of stay (LOS) between documented and undocumented MRRs. Lastly, the proportion of communicated MRRs was assessed. Descriptive data-analysis was used.

Results Of 181 included MRRs, 72 (40%) were deemed preventable by the multidisciplinary team. For 159 of 181 MRRs (88%), documentation on the causal medication(s) was present. The causal medication was documented more often for non-