

were previously established and taking RoB into account in the interpretation of results. The only high-quality study (5%) reported on the sources of funding for the studies included in the review and provided a list of excluded articles.

**Conclusion and Relevance** Systematic reviews provide the best level of evidence, but their quality must be assured. The overall quality of the systematic reviews measuring the impact of PHARMACIST-LED AMS interventions is low. There is a need for high level literature covering the participation and implication of pharmacists in AMS. The real impact of AMS is unknown to support policy makers and efficient designs in both clinical practice and research.

## REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**Conflict of Interest** No conflict of interest

### 4CPS-021 EFFECTIVENESS, PERSISTENCE, AND ADHERENCE OF BARICITINIB IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS: LONG-TERM REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE STUDY

<sup>1</sup>A Calvo García\*, <sup>1</sup>E Ramirez Herraiz, <sup>2</sup>I Llorente Cubas, <sup>3</sup>B Varas de Dios, <sup>1</sup>A Morell Baladrón, <sup>4</sup>J Benedi González, <sup>2</sup>R García de Vicuña. <sup>1</sup>Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Pharmacy, Madrid, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Rheumatology, Madrid, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Hospital de Santa Cristina, Rheumatology, Madrid, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Farmacology, Madrid, Spain

10.1136/ejhpharm-2023-eahp.66

**Background and Importance** Baricitinib (BAR) is a Janus kinase inhibitor (JAKi) selective for isoenzymes 1 and 2. It is used in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with an inadequate response to conventional synthetic disease-modifying drugs (csDMARD).

**Aim and Objectives** The objective was to evaluate the effectiveness, persistence, and adherence of BAR in RA in a real-world setting.

**Material and Methods** An ambispective observational study was designed in a third-level hospital. Patients with RA who started BAR between September 2017 and June 2021 were included and signed an informed consent. Patients participating in a clinical trial were excluded. Patients were followed up until December 2021. Effectiveness was evaluated by variation of the Disease Activity Score (28-joint count) using C-reactive protein (DAS28PCR); and by the percentage of patients achieving therapeutic target: low disease activity (LDA) (DAS28CRP $\leq$ 3.2) or disease remission (DAS28CRP $<$ 2.6). Adherence was analysed using the 5 items Compliance-Questionnaire-Rheumatology (CQR5) applied to patients every 6 months, and the medication possession ratio (MPR). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the hospital.

**Results** 61 patients were included, 51/61 (83.6%) were female. The mean age was 58.1 (15.4) and the mean disease duration was 13.9 (8.3) years. 47/61 (77.0%) and 43/61 (70.5%) patients presented anti-citrullinated protein antibodies and rheumatoid factor, respectively. 44/61 (72.1%) patients had prior exposure to biologic DMARDs. 10/61 (16.4%) patients were on BAR monotherapy. A significant decrease was observed in DAS28PCR from baseline to the end of treatment/follow-up (3.9 (0.9) vs 2.7 (1.3), a difference of 1.2,  $p=0.000$ ). In addition, 6/61 (9.8%) and 37/61 (60.7%) patients achieved LDA or remission, respectively. 31/61 (50.8%) patients remained on treatment at the end of follow-up, with a median persistence of 31.3 (14.1-47.7) months. The mean MPR was 0.96 (0.08), and all but one patient were

adherent (MPR $>$ 0,8). According to the CQR5, all patients were 'good adherers'.

**Conclusion and Relevance** JAKi are the most recent alternative available for RA treatment. BAR demonstrated effectiveness in our study cohort, with a significant decrease in DAS28PCR, a high percentage of patients reaching the therapeutic target, and a persistence exceeding two years. Adherence to treatment was very high, almost 100%. More studies in real-world setting are needed to confirm these results.

## REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**Conflict of Interest** No conflict of interest

### 4CPS-022 ADHERENCE TO EVOLOCUMAB AND ITS IMPACT ON LDL CHOLESTEROL REDUCTION

B Bertran de Iis Bartolome\*, M González Sevilla, JM Ferrari Piquero. Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Hospital Pharmacy, Madrid, Spain

10.1136/ejhpharm-2023-eahp.67

**Background and Importance** According to the latest recommendations, the need to achieve lower cholesterol levels has become more important. Therefore, the use of protein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors has been increasing recently.

**Aim and Objectives** To establish patients' adherence to evolocumab therapy, a PCSK9 inhibitor, and to analyse the reduction of patients' LDL-C levels.

**Material and Methods** Descriptive retrospective observational study carried out between January and December 2021 in a third-level hospital. Patients with three or more dispensations of evolocumab were selected. The number of prefilled pens and the date when it was supplied were considered to calculate compliance. Demographics and clinical data (prescription and LDL-C values: pre-treatment, after 12 weeks, and at the end of the study) were compiled through the medical record.

**Results** 139 patients were included in the study, 79 males (57.25%) with a median age of 62.97 years (IQR 15.53). 73 patients (52.90%) were prescribed due to secondary prevention and the remainder due to familial hypercholesterolemia. All patients received 140 mg every 2 weeks.

Patients were divided into three groups (1, 2, and 3) according to their medication adherence ( $\geq 90\%$ , 75 – 89.99%, and  $<75\%$  respectively). 90 patients (65.22%) were in group 1, 30 (21.74%) in group 2 and 18 (13.04%) in group 3.

After 12 weeks of treatment, a reduction of LDL-C levels by at least 50% was observed in 71 patients (78.79%) from group 1, 23 (76.67%) from group 2, and 11 (61.11%) from group 3. The reduction percentage medians were -69.18% (IQR 26.69), -68.64% (IQR 28.89), and -54.56% (IQR 44.69) respectively for each group. Results in group 1 and 2 are better than existing literature data (table 1). Group 3 obtained worse efficacy results.

**Abstract 4CPS-022 Table 1**

Phase III clinical trial (N)	Reduction percentage (CI 95%)
20110114 MENDEL-2 (614)	-58 (-60, -55)
20110115 LAPLACE-2 (1896)	-64 (-66, -62)
20110117 RUTHERFORD2 (329)	-63 (-66, -59)
20110116 GAUSS-2 (307)	-57 (-61, -54)