

#### 4CPS-043 EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ANTI-CALCITONIN GENE-RELATED PEPTIDE MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES FOR MIGRAINE PROPHYLAXIS: ONE-YEAR REAL-LIFE EXPERIENCE

L Estrada\*, G Cardona Peixt, L Dorado Bouix, S Marin, E Terricabras Mas, A Bocos-Baelo, C García-Castiñeira, S García-Xipell, C Rodríguez-González, C Quiñones. *Hospital Universitari Germans Trias I Pujol, Pharmacy Department, Badalona, Spain*

10.1136/ejpharm-2024-eahp.147

**Background and Importance** Clinical manifestations of migraine compromise patient's quality of life (QoL). Randomised studies showed monoclonal antibodies against calcitonin gene-related peptide (AM-anti-CGRP) reduce frequency and intensity of migraine episodes but there is still lack of real-life effectiveness and safety data in some clinical scenarios.

**Aim and Objectives** Assess the one-year efficacy and safety of AM-anti-CGRP in those patients' refractory to other prophylactic treatments through clinical pharmacist assessment.

**Material and Methods** Observational and retrospective study including patients with chronic migraine (CM) or episodic migraine (EM) who started treatment with AM-anti-CGRP between March 2020 and March 2022 completing one-year treatment.

Pharmacotherapeutic follow-up was performed together with the Neurology team. Sex, age, type of migraine and number of previous treatments were collected. Migraine days per month (MDM) and QoL scale (HIT-6) was assessed at baseline, 6- and 12-months follow-up. Treatment response was considered if there was an improvement of 50% MDM at 6 months or  $\geq 30\%$  of HIT-6 at one year. Drug adverse effects that conditioned treatment continuation were assessed.

**Results** 42 patients were included (CM=29; EM=13), 69% female, mean age  $44.6 \pm 9.9$  years. 51 treatments were recorded (22 erenumab, 23 galcanezumab, 6 fremanezumab). Patients received a mean of  $6 \pm 1.6$  (erenumab group),  $5.4 \pm 1.4$  (galcanezumab group) and  $6.2 \pm 1.5$  (fremanezumab group) prior treatments.

Mean  $\pm$  SD baseline MDM and median (range) HIT-6 values were:  $17.6 \pm 8.0$  and  $67(52-74)$  (erenumab group),  $20.7 \pm 7.7$  and  $68(53-78)$  (galcanezumab group) and  $20.8 \pm 8.7$  and  $70(52-72)$  (fremanezumab group) days.

Mean  $\pm$  SD MDM values at 6- and 12-month follow-up were:  $6.4 \pm 4.6$  and  $6.2 \pm 4.5$  (erenumab),  $10.7 \pm 8.2$  and  $10.3 \pm 7.7$  (galcanezumab) and  $6.7 \pm 0.6$  and  $7.5 \pm 2.1$  (fremanezumab).

Median (range) HIT-6 values at 6- and 12-month follow-up were:  $58.5(44-78)$  and  $53(44-74)$  (erenumab),  $62(46-78)$  and  $65(54-76)$  (galcanezumab) and  $62(46-78)$  and  $65(54-76)$  (fremanezumab).

14 (63.6%), 15 (65.2%) and 3 (50%) of patients, responded to erenumab, galcanezumab and fremanezumab, respectively.

3 patients discontinued treatment due to adverse effects (n=2 erenumab-group, n=1 fremanezumab-group).

**Conclusion and Relevance** High responses rates  $\geq 50\%$  were observed in the three groups, higher in the galcanezumab group although conclusions limited due to small sample. Results show treatments were safe and well-tolerated, with only 5.88% treatment discontinuations due to adverse effects. Multidisciplinary follow-up including clinical pharmacist assessment could help optimising treatment response and safety.

#### REFERENCES AND/OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflict of Interest No conflict of interest.

#### 4CPS-044 PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS DROP-OUT: FOLLOW-UP AND RELINKING THROUGH TELEPHONE CONTACT

<sup>1</sup>A Calvo García\*, <sup>2</sup>LJ García Fraile Fraile, <sup>1</sup>G Escudero Sánchez, <sup>1</sup>B Ramos Martínez, <sup>1</sup>E Ramírez Herraiz, <sup>1</sup>JM Serra López-Matencio, <sup>2</sup>Á Gutiérrez Liart, <sup>1</sup>A Aranguren Oyarzabal, <sup>2</sup>I De Los Santos Gil, <sup>1</sup>A Morell Baladrón. <sup>1</sup>Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, Pharmacy, Madrid, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, Infectious Diseases, Madrid, Spain

10.1136/ejpharm-2024-eahp.148

**Background and Importance** Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is an effective HIV prevention strategy for people at high risk of infection. Long-term adherence to PrEP program in our health care setting is unknown.

**Aim and Objectives** To identify users who dropped out PrEP and to evaluate the usefulness of telephone contact for recapturing, through a multidisciplinary strategy (Infectious Diseases-Pharmacy).

**Material and Methods** Transversal study on a cohort of PrEP users (April 2022-July 2023). Potential users without drug dispensing in the last three-months were identified. Clinical histories were reviewed to determine 'true treatment discontinuations' (TTD). Those patients were contacted by telephone to offer relinking. Statistical analysis: values were expressed as medians (interquartile range-IQR) and patients (percentages).

**Results** Follow-up in 292 users: 47 (16%) potential dropouts, 23 (7.9%) TTD. The remaining 24: 15 cases were suitable discontinuations, 1 unsuitable discontinuation, 3 used PrEP on demand without requiring standard dispensing, 1 was transferred to another hospital and 4 were awaiting dispensation.

Abstract 4CPS-044 Table 1 Characteristics of 23 TTD

		N (%) / median (IQR)
Gender	Cis man	23 (100)
Age		33.6 (29.5-39.7)
Origin	Spain Latin-America Europe/Western	12 (52.2) 8 (34.8) 3 (12)
Medical history	Psychiatrists Smoker Alcohol Non-sexual drugs Chemsex Three-month sessions Slamsex	6 (26.1) 11 (47.8) 16 (69.6) 16 (69.6) 6 (26.1) 2.5 (5) 2 (8.7)
Previous sexually transmitted infection (STI)	Syphilis MonkeyPox	8 (34.8) 1 (4.3)
% preservative		65 (52)
Couples/month		6.5 (4.3-11.5)
Previous PrEP		6 (26.1)
Previous post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) Number of PEPs		13 (56.5) 1 (0-2)
Baseline tests	VIH Hepatitis B virus Hepatitis C virus <i>Neisseria gonorrhoeae Chlamydia trachomatis</i> Lymphogranuloma venereum <i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i> Syphilis	0 0 0 1 (4.3) 0 0 2 (8.7) 0
N° users/month		3.9 (2.8-6.0)
Medical revisions		1 (0-2)
Reason for loss of tracking	Discontinuation Ending risky behaviour Transfer Missed appointment Others	14 (60.9) 1 (4.3) 3 (13) 4 (17.4) 1 (1)
Relinked patients		8 (34.8)